arXiv:1512.03469v1 [math.RT] 10 Dec 2015

COMPLETE CLASSIFICATION OF H-TYPE ALGEBRAS: I

KENRO FURUTANI, IRINA MARKINA

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathscr{N}$ be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra endowed with non-degenerate scalar product $\langle .\,,.\rangle$ and let $\mathscr{N} = V \oplus_\perp Z$, where Z is the centre of the Lie algebra and V its orthogonal complement with respect to the scalar product. We study the classification of the Lie algebras for which the space V arises as a representation space of a Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s})$ and the representation map $J\colon \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s}) \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ is related to the Lie algebra structure by $\langle J_z v, w\rangle = \langle z, [v,w]\rangle$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and $v, w \in V$. The classification is based on the range of parameters r and s and is completed for the Clifford modules V, having minimal possible dimension, that are not necessary irreducible. We find the necessary condition for the existence of a Lie algebra isomorphism according to the range of integer parameters $0 \le r, s < \infty$. We present the constructive proof for the isomorphism map for isomorphic Lie algebras and defined the class of non-isomorphic Lie algebras.

1. Introduction

We are studying one special type of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras. In the work [32] Métivier introduced 2-step real nilpotent Lie algebras $\mathfrak{n} = V \oplus Z$ with the center Z such that the adjoint map $\mathrm{ad}_x\colon \mathfrak{n} \to Z$ is surjective for any $x \in V$, where V is the prescribed complement to the center. Equivalently, the bracket defines a vector valued anti-symmetric form $[.\,,.]\colon V \times V \to Z$, such that anti-symmetric real valued bilinear form $B(x,y) = \omega([x,y])$ is non-degenerate on V for all $\omega \in Z^*$, $\omega \neq 0$. Particularly, it immediately implies that the space V is even dimensional and $[V,V] = Z$ since $Z = \mathrm{ad}_x(\mathfrak{n}) \subseteq [\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}]$ for $x \in V$. These Lie algebras were introduced in order to study the analytic hypoellipticity and were called Lie algebras *satisfying hypothesis H*. The Lie algebras were also studied in [15, Definition 1.3] under the name *non-singular*, in [30, 33] as *Lie algebras of Métivier group* or in [27] as *fat* algebras since they are source of fat distributions.

Let us observe that if a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ carries a positive definite product $\langle .\,,.\rangle$ on it, and the map $J_z\colon V \to V$ is defined by

$$\langle\, J_z x, y\,\rangle = \langle\, z, [x,y]\,\rangle = \langle\, z, \mathrm{ad}_x(y)\,\rangle, \tag{1}$$

then J_z is a non-singular linear map for any non-zero $z \in Z$ if and only if the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ is non-singular. The presence of a positive definite product is not restrictive at all, because Eberlein showed in [14] that any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra is isomorphic to a standard metric form $(\mathcal{N}, \langle .\,,.\rangle_{\mathcal{N}})$, where $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{R}^n \oplus W$, with $W \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ and the positive definite product defined by $\langle .\,,.\rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle .\,,.\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \langle .\,,.\rangle_{\mathfrak{so}(n)}$. Thus any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra can be considered as a metric Lie algebra with the scalar product defined as above.

We are interested in those non-singular 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, for which the map $J\colon Z \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ is a representation of a Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s})$. The map J and the Lie brackets are related by (1) by making use a sign indefinite non-degenerate scalar product. The corresponding Lie algebras, which we denote by $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V)$, received the name *pseudo H-type*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B60, 17B30, 17B70, 22E15.

Key words and phrases. Clifford module, nilpotent 2-step Lie algebra, pseudo H-type algebras, Lie algebra isomorphism, scalar product.

The first author was partially supported by the NCTS at National Taiwan University, Taipei, and both of authors were partially supported by ISP project 239033/F20 and SPIRE 710022, University of Bergen, Norway.

Lie algebras. For the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,0})$, generated by the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^r$, the H-type algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,0}(V)$ were introduced by Kaplan [24] and attracted a lot of attention [6, 10, 13, 25, 26, 34, 35]. The Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,0}(V)$ is a typical example of a standard metric form. For the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s})$, generated by a sign indefinite non-degenerate scalar product space $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, the pseudo H-type Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V)$ were introduced in [11], and studied in [12, 16, 18, 20, 21].

We study the isomorphism properties between the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V)$. We show that the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V)$ can not be isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{u,t}(V)$ unless $r = t$ and $s = u$ or $r = u$ and $s = t$. The present paper is the first part of the complete classification, where we concentrate on the classification of the Lie algebras based on the Clifford modules of minimal possible dimensions (which are not necessarily irreducible), admitting a scalar product making the representation map J_z skew symmetric. Then, by making use the Atiyah-Bott periodicity for underlying Clifford algebras we extend the study to an arbitrary dimension pseudo H-type algebras. We also show that the Lie algebras based on the non-equivalent irreducible Clifford modules are isomorphic. We stress, that the isomorphic relation between the Clifford algebras and the associated pseudo H-type Lie algebras is not functorial. In some cases the isomorphic Clifford algebras lead to isomorphic Lie algebras, in other cases not.

Apart from being motivated by itself interesting mathematical question of the classification of Lie algebras, we want to mention here possible applications in other areas of mathematics. It was shown [10, 16] that the pseudo H-type Lie algebras admit the integer structure constants that in its turn, according to the Malćev theorem [31], guarantees the existence of lattices on the corresponding Lie groups. The factorization of pseudo H-type Lie groups by lattices gives a vast of new examples of nilmanifolds, which type strongly depend on the classification of pseudo H-type algebras [7, 8, 12, 21]. Nilmanifolds are related to the Grushin type differential operators descending from elliptic and sub-elliptic type operators on the corresponding pseudo H-type Lie groups. This kind of nilmanifolds allows precise construction of the spectral zeta function for the Grusin operator, [3, 4] and gives new examples of iso-spectral but non-diffeomorphic nilmanifolds ([5]).

Recently it was noticed that Tanaka prolongations of some pseudo H-type Lie algebras coincide with Tanaka prolongations of simple Lie algebras, factorized by parabolic subalgebras. It shows a close relation between the classification of pseudo H-type algebras and the theory of simple Lie algebras. The fact that Clifford algebras are pretty much useful in the orthogonal design, signal processing, space-time block coding, or computer vision, is well known [9, 19, 23, 36]. The structure of pseudo H-type algebras allows a new construction of orthogonal designs and possible wireless communications, as was shown in [18].

The article is organized in the following way. After the introduction we give necessary definitions and notations in Section 2, including the notion of admissible module and relation between Clifford algebras and pseudo H-type Lie algebras. We also describe the scheme of classification, that includes 4 steps. In the rest of sections we realise 3 steps of the classification.

2. Clifford algebras, modules, and pseudo H-type Lie algebras

2.1. **Clifford algebras and representations.** We use the notation $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ for the space $\mathbb{R}^{r+s}$ equipped with the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle\, x, y \,\rangle_{r,s} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{s} x_{r+j} y_{r+j}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}.$$

An orthonormal basis we denote by $\{z_1, \dots, z_{r+s}\}$. Thus

$$\langle\, z_i, z_j \,\rangle_{r,s} = \epsilon_i(r,s)\delta_{i,j}, \quad \epsilon_i(r,s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ -1, & \text{if} \quad i = r+1, \dots, r+s, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker symbol. By $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ we denote the Clifford algebra generated by $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, that is, the quotient algebra of the tensor algebra

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^{r+s}) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \left(\mathbb{R}^{r+s}\right) \oplus \left(\overset{2}{\otimes}\mathbb{R}^{r+s}\right) \oplus \left(\overset{3}{\otimes}\mathbb{R}^{r+s}\right) \oplus \cdots,$$

divided by the two-sided ideal $I_{r,s}$ generated by the elements of the form $x \otimes x + \langle\, x, x \,\rangle_{r,s}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$. The explicit determination of the Clifford algebras is given in [1] and they are isomorphic to matrix algebras presented [29]. We mention in (2) useful isomorphisms of Clifford algebras, related to 8-periodicity, established in [1] and $(4-4)$-periodicity, see [29]. To denote isomorphic objects we use the symbol "$\cong$".

$$\begin{array}{lcccl} \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_{0,8} & \cong & \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s+8} & \cong & \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \otimes \mathbb{R}(16), \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_{8,0} & \cong & \mathrm{Cl}_{r+8,s} & \cong & \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \otimes \mathbb{R}(16), \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \otimes \mathrm{Cl}_{4,4} & \cong & \mathrm{Cl}_{r+4,s+4} & \cong & \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \otimes \mathbb{R}(16). \end{array} \tag{2}$$

An algebra homomorphism $\widehat{J}\colon \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s} \to \mathrm{End}(U)$ is called representation map and the vector space U is said to be the representation space. The representation space U becomes Clifford $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$-module, where the multiplication is defined by $\phi u = \widehat{J}_\phi u$, $u \in U$, $\phi \in \mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. It is enough to define a linear map $J\colon \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to \mathrm{End}(U)$, satisfying $J_z^2 = -\langle\, z, z \,\rangle_{r,s}\, \mathrm{Id}_U$ for an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. Then J can be uniquely extended to the representation $\widehat{J}$ by the universal property, see, for instance [22, 28, 29].

2.2. **Admissible modules.** Let U be a Clifford $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$-module. We call the module U *admissible*, if there is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_U$ on U such that the representation map J satisfies the following condition:

$$\langle\, J_z x, y \,\rangle_U + \langle\, x, J_z y \,\rangle_U = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \quad x, y \in U. \tag{3}$$

We say that the map J_z is skew symmetric with respect to the bilinear symmetric form $\langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_U$ and write $U = (U, \langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_U)$ for an admissible module. If $(U, \langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_U)$ is an admissible module with a non-degenerate scalar product, then it decomposes into the orthogonal sum of minimal dimensional admissible modules [16], since the orthogonal complement to an admissible submodule is an admissible module.

If U is a $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,0}$-module, then there always exists a positive definite scalar product $\langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_U$ such that U becomes an admissible module. Particularly, any irreducible module is an admissible with respect to some positive definite scalar product. It allowed to Kaplan to introduce H-type Lie algebras in [24].

If $s > 0$, and $(U, \langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_U)$ is an admissible $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$-module, then the scalar product space $(U, \langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_U)$ has to be a neutral space [11], that is an even dimensional space, where the bilinear symmetric form has equal number of positive and negative eigenvalues. In this case an irreducible module need not be admissible.

Recall that the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ with $r - s \neq 3(mod\ 4)$ admit only one irreducible module up to equivalence. Some of irreducible modules V can be supplied with a scalar product with the property (3) and becomes an admissible module. In other cases the direct sum $V \oplus V$ must be taken in order to define the scalar product, see [11]. In both cases we call the obtained admissible module *minimal admissible module*. Thus, for the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ with $r - s \neq 3(mod\ 4)$ the minimal admissible module is either $(V, \langle .\,, .\rangle_V)$ or $(V \oplus V, \langle .\,, .\rangle_{V\oplus V})$, where V is an irreducible module. We will denote a minimal admissible module of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ by $V^{r,s}$.

We clarify now the structure of minimal admissible modules for $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ with $r - s = 3(mod\ 4)$. In this case, there are two non-equivalent irreducible modules. Let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{r+s}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and $\{J_{z_1}, \ldots, J_{z_{r+s}}\}$ the corresponding representation maps. The product $\Omega^{r,s} = \prod_{j=1}^{r+s} J_{z_j}$ is called the volume form. In the case of $r - s = 3(mod\ 4)$, it belongs

to the center of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and $(\Omega^{r,s})^2 = \mathrm{Id}$. Two non-equivalent irreducible modules are distinguished by the action of $\Omega^{r,s}$. We denote by V_+ the irreducible module, where the volume form acts as the identity operator and by V_- the non-equivalent irreducible $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$-module, where the volume form $\Omega^{r,s}$ acts as the minus identity operator. If non of irreducible modules is admissible, then the minimal admissible module is one of the following forms $V_+ \oplus V_+$, $V_- \oplus V_-$ or $V_+ \oplus V_-$. A choice of a possible form depends on the value of index s and it is explained in Proposition 1. The summary of possible structures of minimal admissible modules for all the cases is given in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Structure of possible minimal admissible modules $V^{r,s}$

$r+s \neq 3(mod\ 4)$	$r+s = 3(mod\ 4)$		
V or $V \oplus V$	s is even	s is even	s is odd
	V_+ or V_-	$V_+ \oplus V_+$ or $V_- \oplus V_-$	$V_+ \oplus V_-$

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ be a Clifford algebra with $r - s = 3(mod\ 4)$. The following cases are possible.*

1. *If s is odd, then an irreducible module can not be admissible. The minimal admissible module is unique, up to an isomorphism, and has the form $V^{r,s} = V_+ \oplus V_-$;*
2. *If s is even and if the irreducible module V_+ is admissible, then V_- is also admissible and vice versa;*
3. *If s is even and if one of irreducible modules is not admissible, then the other one is neither admissible. The minimal admissible module takes one of the forms: $V^{r,s} = V_+ \oplus V_+$ or $V^{r,s} = V_- \oplus V_-$.*

Proof. To show the first claim we assume that $(V_+, \langle .\,, . \rangle_{V_+})$ is admissible. Then

$$\langle x, x\rangle_{V_+} = \langle \Omega^{r,s}(x), \Omega^{r,s}(x)\rangle_{V_+} = \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} \langle z_i, z_i\rangle_{r,s} \langle x, x\rangle_{V_+} = (-1)^s \langle x, x\rangle_{V_+} \tag{4}$$

for any $x \in V_+$. This shows that all the vectors $x \in V_+$ are null vectors and the scalar product $\langle .\,, . \rangle_{V_+}$ is degenerate. Thus the irreducible module V_+ can not be supplied with non-degenerate bilinear symmetric form, such that the maps J_z satisfies (3). Similar arguments are valid for V_-. Thus if $(V^{r,s}, \langle .\,, . \rangle_{V^{r,s}})$ is a minimal admissible module, then $V^{r,s}$ has to contain both of $V_\pm$.

The second statement is obvious. Before starting to prove the last statement, we note that if $r - s = 3(mod\ 4)$, then $r + s = 2s + 3(mod\ 4)$ is always odd and $\frac{r+s-1}{2} = s + 1(mod\ 2)$ is also odd in the case when s is even. We assume now that non of two non-equivalent irreducible modules is admissible and we consider a minimal admissible module $(V^{r,s}, \langle .\,, . \rangle_{V^{r,s}})$. Then the volume form is an isometry by (4) and a symmetric operator because of

$$\langle \Omega^{r,s}(x), y\rangle_{V^{r,s}} = (-1)^{r+s} \langle x, J_{z_{r+s}} \dots J_{z_1} y\rangle_{V^{r,s}} = (-1)^{r+s} (-1)^{\frac{r+s-1}{2}} \langle x, \Omega^{r,s}(y)\rangle_{V^{r,s}}.$$

Thus, if $V^{r,s}$ contains two eigenspaces V_+ and V_- of $\Omega^{r,s}$, then V_+ and V_- have to be orthogonal non-degenerate subspaces of $(V^{r,s}, \langle .\,, . \rangle_{V^{r,s}})$ and therefore admissible modules. This contradicts to the assumption that non of irreducible modules is admissible. □

In Table 2 we give the dimensions of minimal admissible modules $V^{r,s}$, $r, s \leq 8$. By the black colour we denote the dimensions of minimal admissible modules, that are also irreducible Clifford modules. The red colour is used for the minimal admissible modules which are direct product of two irreducible Clifford modules. The notation $N_{\times 2}$ means that there are two minimal admissible modules.

We need a couple of more properties of the admissible modules, see [16].

TABLE 2. Dimensions of minimal admissible modules

8	16	32	64	$64_{\times 2}$	128	128	128	$128_{\times 2}$	256
7	16	32	64	64	128	128	128	128	256
6	16	$16_{\times 2}$	32	32	64	$64_{\times 2}$	128	128	256
5	16	16	16	16	32	64	128	128	256
4	8	8	8	$8_{\times 2}$	16	32	64	$64_{\times 2}$	128
3	8	8	8	8	16	32	64	64	128
2	4	$4_{\times 2}$	8	8	16	$16_{\times 2}$	32	32	64
1	2	4	8	8	16	16	16	16	32
0	1	2	4	$4_{\times 2}$	8	8	8	$8_{\times 2}$	16
s/r	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Lemma 1. [16] *Let $(V, \langle .,. \rangle_V)$ be an admissible module and $\mathbb{J}_1, \dots, \mathbb{J}_l$ symmetric or anti-symmetric linear operators on V such that*

1) $\mathbb{J}_k^2 = -\operatorname{Id}$, $k = 1, \dots, l$;
2) $\mathbb{J}_k \mathbb{J}_j = -\mathbb{J}_j \mathbb{J}_k$ *for all* $k, j = 1, \dots, l$.

Then for any $v \in V$ with $\langle v, v \rangle_V = 1$ there is a vector $\tilde{v}$ satisfying:

$$\langle \tilde{v}, \mathbb{J}_k \tilde{v} \rangle_V = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{v} \rangle_V = 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, l.$$

If P is a linear operator on V such that $P^2 = \operatorname{Id}$, $P\mathbb{J}_k = \mathbb{J}_k P$, $k = 1, \dots, l$, and $v \in V$ with $\langle v, v \rangle_V = 1$, satisfies $Pv = v$, then the vector $\tilde{v}$ is also eigenvector of P: $P\tilde{v} = \tilde{v}$.

Remark 1. *Let $(V, \langle\,.,.\,\rangle_V)$ be an admissible module of a Clifford algebra $\operatorname{Cl}_{r,s}$. Then it can be easily seen form the definition of an admissible module, that the same module with the scalar product of the opposite sign $(V, -\langle\,.,.\,\rangle_V)$ is also an admissible module.*

2.3. **Pseudo H-type algebras.** We give the definition of pseudo H-type algebras that is convenient for us to work. The equivalent definitions and their relations to Clifford algebras can be found in [2, 10, 11, 13, 16, 20, 24, 25, 26].

Definition 1. *Let $(U, \langle\,.,.\,\rangle_U)$ be an admissible module of a Clifford algebra $\operatorname{Cl}_{r,s}$ and a map $J\colon \operatorname{Cl}_{r,s} \to \operatorname{End}(U)$ a representation. A 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra $U \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ with the center $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and the Lie bracket defined via the relation*

$$\langle\, J_z x, y \,\rangle_U = \langle\, z, [x, y] \,\rangle_{r,s}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{r,s}, \quad x, y \in U, \tag{5}$$

is called a pseudo H-type Lie algebra and is denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U)$. If $U = V^{r,s}$ is minimal admissible, then we write $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$

In Section 5 we prove the uniqueness of the algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$. One of the particular consequences of Definition 1 is $\langle\, J_z x, J_{z'} x \,\rangle_U = \langle\, z, z' \,\rangle_{r,s} \langle\, x, x \,\rangle_{r,s}$. Thus for an orthonormal basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_{r+s}\}$ the maps $J_{z_j}\colon U \to U$ are isometries for $j = 1, \dots, r$ and anti-isometries for $j = r+1, \dots, r+s$.

Theorem 1 ([10, 14, 16])**.** *We fix an orthonormal basis $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{r+s}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and assume that $(V^{r,s}, \langle\,.,.\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}},)$ is a minimal admissible module of $\operatorname{Cl}_{r,s}$ of dimension $2N$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{2N}$ for $V^{r,s}$ such that*

1. $\langle\, x_i, x_j \,\rangle_{V^{r,s}} = \epsilon_i(N, N)\delta_{i,j}$;
2. *For each k, the operator J_{z_k} maps x_i to some x_j or $-x_j$ with $j \neq i$;*
3. *There is a vector $v \in V^{r,s}$, $\langle\, v, v \,\rangle_{V^{r,s}} \neq 0$, such that all the basis $\{x_i\}$ is obtained from v by action of J_{z_j}, $j = 1, \dots, r+s$ or their product.*

We call the basis $\{x_i, z_j\}$ for $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ satisfying the properties of Theorem 1 an *integral basis*. Let $(W, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_W)$ be a vector space with a non-degenerate scalar product. We say that a vector $w \in W$ is *positive* if $\langle\, w, w\,\rangle_W > 0$, *negative* if $\langle\, w, w\,\rangle_W < 0$, and *a null-vector* if $\langle\, w, w\,\rangle_W = 0$. We formulate some consequences of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *If there exists an index $i \in \{1, \dots, 2N\}$ such that $J_{z_k} x_i = \pm J_{z_l} x_i$, then $k = l$. Hence any basis vector x_i is mapped to x_j or $-x_j$ by at most one J_{z_k}.*

Proof. If $k \le r$ then J_{z_k} preserves positive and negative elements. If $k > r$, then J_{z_k} interchange the positive and negative elements. Therefore, under the assumption of the corollary only the cases $k, l \le r$ or $k, l > r$ are possible. Assume $k \ne l$. Then, from one hand $\pm x_i = J_{z_k} J_{z_l} x_i$, but from the other hand

$$(J_{z_k} J_{z_l})^2 = -J_{z_k}^2 J_{z_l}^2 = -\langle\, z_k, z_k\,\rangle_{r,s} \langle\, z_l, z_l\,\rangle_{r,s} \operatorname{Id} = -\operatorname{Id},$$

which contradicts to the existence of the eigenvalue 1 or -1 of the operator $J_{z_k} J_{z_l}$. □

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ be a pseudo H-type algebra and $\{x_i, z_j\}$ an integral basis. Set $[x_i, x_j] = \sum c_{ij}^k z_k$, then for fixed i and j the coefficients c_{ij}^k vanish for all but one k and in the later case $c_{ij}^k = \pm 1$.*

Proof. The proof follows from $\langle\, J_{z_k} x_i, x_j\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}} = \langle\, z_l, [x_i, x_j]\,\rangle_{r,s} = \begin{cases} c_{ij}^k & \text{if } k \le r \\ -c_{ij}^k & \text{if } k > r \end{cases}$. □

Corollary 3. *Let $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ be a pseudo H-type algebra, $\{x_i, z_j\}$ an integral basis, and $[x_i, x_j] = \pm z_k$. Then*

1. *if either $1 \le i, j \le N$ or $N < i, j \le 2N$ then z_k is positive, that is $k \le r$,*
2. *if $1 \le i \le N < j \le 2N$ then z_k is negative, i.e., $k > r$.*

Proof. We prove only the first statement, since the second one can be shown similarly. If we assume, by contrary, that $k > r$, then J_{z_k} should be an anti-isometry and

$$0 = \langle\, J_{z_k} x_i, x_j\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}} = \langle\, z_k, [x_i, x_j]\,\rangle_{r,s} = \pm 1,$$

which is a contradiction. □

2.4. **Scheme for 4 step classification.** Step 1. We study the isomorphic and non isomorphic cases of Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, $r, s \le 8$, $r \ne s$ of equal dimensions, see Section 3. We also construct an automorphism of $N_{r,r}$, $r = 1, 2, 4$ having a special property and show that there is no such an automorphism of $\mathcal{N}_{3,3}$, see Theorem 6 and Corollary 6. Then the periodicity property (2) will be applied to extend these results to higher dimensional Lie algebras, see Theorems 7 and 8.

Step 2. If $\dim(V^{r,s}) = 2\dim(V^{s,r})$, then the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ are not isomorphic simply because they have different dimension. We call these algebras *trivially non-isomorphic*. In this case we prove the isomorphism or non-isomorphism of the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}(V^{s,r} \oplus V^{s,r})$, see Section 4.

Step 3. Let $V^{r,s} = V_+$ or $V^{r,s} = V_-$, where V_+, V_- are non-equivalent irreducible modules. We show that the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-)$ are isomorphic. An analogous question is considered when $V^{r,s} = V_+ \oplus V_+$ or $V^{r,s} = V_- \oplus V_-$. The isomorphism of Lie algebras particularly shows the uniqueness of the pseudo H-type algebra corresponding to two minimal admissible modules, see Section 5.

Step 4. The last step is devoted to the classification of Lie algebras, constructed from the multiple sum of minimal admissible modules. The admissible modules can differ either by the choice of the scalar product on it or they can be defined by non-equivalent representations.

In this paper we present 3 steps, finishing the classification of the Lie algebras whose complement to the centre is a minimal admissible module. We summarise the classification of the Step 1 among the basic pairs in Table 3. Here "d" stands for "double", meaning that

Table 3. Classification result after the first step

8	$\cong$								
7	d	d	d	$\ncong$					
6	d	$\cong$	$\cong$	h					
5	d	$\cong$	$\cong$	h					
4	$\cong$	h	h	h	$\circlearrowleft$				
3	d	$\ncong$	$\ncong$	$\not\circlearrowleft$	d	d	d	$\ncong$	d
2	$\cong$	h	$\circlearrowleft$	$\ncong$	d	$\cong$	$\cong$	h	$\cong$
1	$\cong$	$\circlearrowleft$	d	$\ncong$	d	$\cong$	$\cong$	h	$\cong$
0		$\cong$	$\cong$	h	$\cong$	h	h	h	$\cong$
s/r	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

$\dim V^{r,s} = 2\dim V^{s,r}$, and "h" (half) means that $\dim V^{r,s} = \frac{1}{2}\dim V^{s,r}$. The corresponding pairs are trivially non-isomorphic due to the different dimension of minimal admissible modules. The symbol $\cong$ denotes the Lie algebra having isomorphic pair, $\ncong$ shows that the pair is non-isomorphic, the symbol $\circlearrowleft$ denotes the Lie algebra admitting a special type of automorphisms, and $\not\circlearrowleft$ denotes the Lie algebra not having this type of automorphism.

2.5. **Remarks on Step 4 and further development.** In the forthcoming paper [17] we will deal with Step 4, where we plan to consider the multiple sum $U = \oplus_i V_i$ of several minimal admissible modules $V_i = (V, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_V)$. Here different minimal admissible modules V_i can have a common vector space V but allows the scalar products of opposite sign. The minimal admissible modules can differ also by the choice of the irreducible modules for their construction: $V = V_+$ or $V = V_-$. Finally the minimal admissible modules can be both based on non-equivalent Clifford modules and admit the scalar products of opposite signs. Different combinations can give non-isomorphic Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(\oplus_i V_i)$.

We also aim to study the automorphism groups of the algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$. They are determined by solving the equations arising during the construction of the map $A\colon V^{r,s} \to V^{r,s}$. The present paper indicates that it is reduced to the exact sequence

$$\{0\} \to K \to Aut(\mathcal{N}_{r,s}) \to O(r,s) \to \{0\},$$

that defines the map $\Phi \to C$, see (6) for the form of Φ. The last map is distinguished by the properties of C. In some cases $C^\tau C = \mathrm{Id}$, as, for instance, in the case $\mathcal{N}_{3,3}$, meanwhile for $\mathcal{N}_{r,r}$, $r = 1, 2, 4$ one has $C^\tau C = \pm\,\mathrm{Id}$. The map C determines the map A and the freedom in the construction of the map A gives the kernel K. It can be seen from the present paper that it could be $K = \pm\,\mathrm{Id}$ or $K = SO(2)$. In the forthcoming papers we aim to describe all the cases not only for the Lie algebras based on the minimal admissible modules, but also for the admissible modules of the type $U = \oplus_i V_i$.

3. Step 1: Lie algebras of minimal dimensions

3.1. **Necessary condition of existence of an isomorphism.** Let $A\colon U \to \widetilde{U}$ be a linear map. We denote by A^τ the adjoint map with respect to the scalar products on $(U, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_U)$

and $(\widetilde{U}, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_{\widetilde{U}})$:

$$\langle\, A(x), y\,\rangle_{\widetilde{U}} = \langle\, x, A^\tau(y)\,\rangle_U, \quad x \in U, \quad y \in \widetilde{U}.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $\{U, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_U;\, J\}$ and $\{\widetilde{U}, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_{\widetilde{U}});\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}\}$ be admissible modules and representation maps of the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}$, respectively. Assume that $\dim U = \dim \widetilde{U}$, $r+s = \tilde{r}+\tilde{s}$, and that there is a Lie algebra isomorphism*

$$\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}(\widetilde{U})$$

between the corresponding pseudo H-type algebras. Then, necessarily, one of the cases $(r,s) = (\tilde{r},\tilde{s})$ or $(r,s) = (\tilde{s},\tilde{r})$ holds. Moreover, Φ has to be of the form

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} U \\ \oplus_\perp \\ \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \widetilde{U} \\ \oplus_\perp \\ \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}} \end{array}, \tag{6}$$

where $A\colon U \to \widetilde{U}$ and $C\colon \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}$ are linear bijective maps satisfying the relation

$$A^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, A = J_{C^\tau(z)} \quad \textit{for any} \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}. \tag{7}$$

There is no condition on $B\colon U \to \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}$ and we may set $B = 0$. Multiplying A by a suitable constant, we may assume that $|\det(AA^\tau)| = 1$ and $CC^\tau = \pm \mathrm{Id}$.

Proof. If a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}(\widetilde{U})$ exists, then it must be of the form (6), since it maps the center to the center. The relation (7) follows from the definition of Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\, A^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, A(x), y\,\rangle_U &= \langle\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, A(x), A(y)\,\rangle_{\widetilde{U}} = \langle\, z, [A(x), A(y)]\,\rangle_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}} = \langle\, z, C([x,y])\,\rangle_{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}} \\ &= \langle\, C^\tau(z), [x,y]\,\rangle_{r,s} = \langle\, J_{C^\tau(z)}x, y\,\rangle_U, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{r},\tilde{s}}$ which shows (7). Conversely, if (7) holds, then from (8) we obtain $[A(x), A(y)] = C([x,y])$ and therefore the map $\Phi = A \oplus C$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. Note that (7) implies that $J_{C^\tau(z)}$ is singular, if and only if $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z$ is singular.

Let z_+ and z_- be a positive and a negative vector in $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$, respectively. We set $a_t = (1-t)z_+ + tz_-$, $0 \le t \le 1$. Then

$$\langle\, a_0, a_0\,\rangle_{r,s} = \langle\, z_+, z_+\,\rangle_{r,s} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\, a_1, a_1\,\rangle_{r,s} = \langle\, z_-, z_-\,\rangle_{r,s} < 0.$$

There is $t_0 \in (0,1)$ with $\langle\, a_{t_0}, a_{t_0}\,\rangle_{r,s} = 0$ and therefore $J_{a_{t_0}}$ is singular. On the other hand, if z_1 and z_2 are orthonormal and both positive (negative) vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and $b_t = (1-t)z_1 + tz_2$, $0 \le t \le 1$, then $\langle\, b_t, b_t\,\rangle_{r,s} = (1-t)^2 + t^2 > 0$, for all $t \in [0,1]$. This implies that J_{b_t} is non-singular for all $t \in [0,1]$. Hence, the operator C^τ either preserves or reverses the sign of elements in $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. These observations imply that only the cases $(r,s) = (\tilde{r},\tilde{s})$ or $(r,s) = (\tilde{s},\tilde{r})$ are possible, if $r \ne s$.

For the remaining part of the proof we assume that $r \ne s$ and $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathcal{N}_{s,r}(\widetilde{U})$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. Then $(A^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, A)^2 = J^2_{C^\tau(z)} = -\langle\, C^\tau(z), C^\tau(z)\,\rangle_{r,s}\, \mathrm{Id}_U$ by (7) and therefore

$$\det\left((A^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, A)^2\right) = (\det AA^\tau)^2\, \langle\, z, z\,\rangle^{2N}_{s,r} = \langle\, C^\tau(z), C^\tau(z)\,\rangle^{2N}_{r,s},$$

where $2N = \dim U = \dim \widetilde{U}$. Since the operator $C^\tau\colon \mathbb{R}^{s,r} \to \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ reverses the sign of vectors we obtain

$$|\,(\det AA^\tau)\,|^{1/N} \cdot \langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{s,r} = -\langle\, C^\tau(z), C^\tau(z)\,\rangle_{r,s} = -\langle\, z, CC^\tau(z)\,\rangle_{s,r}\,.$$

Multiplying A by a suitable constant we assume that $|\det AA^\tau| = 1$ and $CC^\tau = -\mathrm{Id}$. □

Corollary 4. *Let $r \neq s$ and $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(\widetilde{U})$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism, written in form* (6)*. Then $CC^\tau = \mathrm{Id}$. If $r = s$ both cases $CC^\tau = \pm\mathrm{Id}$ are possible, see Theorem 6 and Corollary 6.*

Lemma 2. *Let $\{U, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_U;\, J\}$ and $\{\widetilde{U}, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_{\widetilde{U}};\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}\}$ be admissible modules and representation maps of the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{s,r}$, respectively. Assume that $r \neq s$. If*

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(\widetilde{U}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathcal{N}_{s,r}(\widetilde{U}),$$

are Lie algebra isomorphisms, then the maps defined by

$$\Psi^\tau = \begin{pmatrix} A^\tau & 0 \\ 0 & C^\tau \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(\widetilde{U}) \to \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U) \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi^\tau = \begin{pmatrix} A^\tau & 0 \\ 0 & C^\tau \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{N}_{s,r}(\widetilde{U}) \to \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U),$$

respectively, are Lie algebra isomorphisms as well.

Proof. First we show that Ψ^τ defines a Lie algebra automorphism. According to (7) and Corollary 4 we have

$$(A^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, A)^2 = -\,\langle\, C^\tau(z), C^\tau(z)\,\rangle_{r,s}\,\mathrm{Id} = -\,\langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{r,s}\,\mathrm{Id}, \tag{9}$$

which implies $AA^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, AA^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, AA^\tau = -\,\langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{r,s}\, AA^\tau$. Multiplying by $(AA^\tau)^{-1}$ from the right hand side we obtain

$$AA^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, AA^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z = -\,\langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{r,s}\,\mathrm{Id} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z^2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad AA^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, AA^\tau = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\,.$$

Replacing $A^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, A$ by $J_{C^\tau(z)}$, we get $AA^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, AA^\tau = AJ_{C^\tau(z)}A^\tau = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{CC^\tau(z)}$. Hence the map Ψ^τ is a Lie algebra automorphism.

Next we show that Φ^τ defines a Lie algebra isomorphism. From $C^\tau C = CC^\tau = -\,\mathrm{Id}$ we obtain

$$(A^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, A)^2 = J^2_{C^\tau(z)} = -\,\langle\, C^\tau(z), C^\tau(z)\,\rangle_{r,s}\,\mathrm{Id} = \langle\, z, z\,\rangle_{s,r}\,\mathrm{Id} = -\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z^2$$

instead of (9). It leads to $-\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z = AA^\tau\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_z\, AA^\tau = AJ_{C^\tau(z)}A^\tau$ by the same argument as above. Replacing z by $C(z)$, we obtain $AJ_zA^\tau = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z)}$, which proves the assertion. □

The structure of a Lie algebra isomorphism inherits somehow $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of the underlying Clifford algebras as shows the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{r+s}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and the maps A and C as in Lemma 2. Then the following relations hold*

1. *If $p = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$A \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} = (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}\, A, \qquad A^\tau \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j} = (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{C^\tau(z_j)} A^\tau. \tag{10}$$

$$A^\tau A \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} A^\tau A, \qquad AA^\tau \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)} = \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}\, AA^\tau. \tag{11}$$

2. *If $p = 2m+1$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then*

$$A \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} A^\tau = (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)} \qquad A^\tau \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j}\, A = (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{C^\tau(z_j)}. \tag{12}$$

$$A^\tau A \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} A^\tau A = -\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j}, \qquad AA^\tau \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j}\, AA^\tau = -\prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j} \tag{13}$$

Proof. We only show the second parts of equalities, since the first parts can be obtained from them by transpositions. We assume that $C^\tau C = -\operatorname{Id}$ and apply the induction arguments. If $m = 0$ ($p = 1$) then (12) is reduced to (7). Assume now that (12) holds for $p = 2m + 1$. Choose z^* from the orthonormal basis $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{r+s}$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(A^\tau \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j}\Big)\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*} &= A^\tau \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j} AA^{-1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*} = (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{C^\tau(z_j)} A^{-1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*}(A^\tau)^{-1}A^\tau \\ &= (-1)^{m+1}\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{C^\tau(z_j)}\Big) J_{C^\tau(z^*)}A^\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved (10) for $p = 2(m + 1)$. In the last equality we argued as follows. Since

$$A^\tau \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*}^{-1} A = A^\tau\left(-\frac{1}{\langle\, z^*, z^*\,\rangle_{s,r}}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*}\right)A = -\frac{1}{\langle\, z^*, z^*\,\rangle_{s,r}} J_{C^\tau(z^*)},$$

and $\langle\, C^\tau(z^*), C^\tau(z^*)\,\rangle_{r,s} = -\langle\, z^*, z^*\,\rangle_{s,r}$ we obtain

$$A^{-1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*}(A^\tau)^{-1} = \big(A^\tau \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*}^{-1} A\big)^{-1} = \left(-\frac{1}{\langle\, z^*, z^*\,\rangle_{s,r}} J_{C^\tau(z^*)}\right)^{-1} = -J_{C^\tau(z^*)}.$$

Thus in the previous step we, particularly showed that (10) is true for $m = 1$ ($p = 2$). Assume now that (10) holds for $p = 2m$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, then

$$A^\tau\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j}\Big)\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*}A = (-1)^m\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{C^\tau(z_j)}A^\tau\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z^*}A = (-1)^m\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{C^\tau(z_j)}\Big)J_{C^\tau(Z^*)}.$$

Thus the assertion (12) holds for $p = 2m + 1$.

It is sufficient to show (11) for $p = 2$. We have by (10)

$$A^\tau A J_{z_1} J_{z_2} = -A^\tau \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_1)}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_2)}A = J_{C^\tau C(z_1)}J_{C^\tau C(z_2)}A^\tau A = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}A^\tau A.$$

Identity (13) can be deduced from (12). We obtain

$$A^\tau A\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j}A^\tau A = (-1)^m A^\tau\prod_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}A = (-1)^{2m}\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{C^\tau C(z_j)} = (-1)^p\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j}.$$

and since p is odd the equality (13) follows. □

Remark 2. *It is clear that the result of Lemma 3 does not depend on the permutation of the basis elements. We emphasise that the existence of a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(U) \to \mathcal{N}_{s,r}(\widetilde{U})$ is equivalent to the requirement that relation* (7) *holds. Moreover,* (7) *implies all the equalities listed in Lemma 3.*

3.2. **Observations on general structure of a possible isomorphism.** We set up the notations. We denote by $\{z_1, \ldots, z_{r+s}\}$ an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{r+s}$, where $\langle\, z_i, z_j\,\rangle_{r,s} = \epsilon_i(r, s)\delta_{i,j}$. A linear map $P\colon V^{r,s} \to V^{r,s}$ such that $P^2 = \operatorname{Id}$ is called an involution. The eigenspaces of an involution P we denote by E_P^k, where $k \in \{1, -1\}$ according to the eigenvalue. In order to denote the intersection of eigenspaces of several mutually commuting involutions P_j, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, we use multi-index $I = (k_1, \ldots, k_N)$ and write $E^I = \cap_{j=1}^N E_{P_j}^{k_j}$.

The basis for $\mathbb{R}^{s,r}$ we denote by $\{w_{s+r}, \ldots, w_{r+1}, w_r, \ldots, w_1\}$ with first "s" elements being positive and the last "r" vectors being negative. Therefore, the representation maps $J\colon \operatorname{Cl}_{s,r} \to \operatorname{End}(V^{s,r})$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j}^2 = -\operatorname{Id}_{V^{s,r}},\ j = s + r, \ldots, r + 1, \qquad \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j}^2 = \operatorname{Id}_{V^{s,r}},\ j = r, \ldots, 1.$$

In general, operators and other objects related to the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ will be denoted by letters $P, E, R, \ldots$, meanwhile the operators, associated to the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{s,r}$ will carry the tilde on the top: $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}, \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}, \widetilde{R}, \ldots$. At the end we formulate an immediate corollary of Lemma 3 that will be used frequently in the paper.

Corollary 5. *Let $r \neq s$ and assume that there is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C \colon \mathcal{N}^{r,s} \to \mathcal{N}^{s,r}$ with $A \colon V^{r,s} \to V^{s,r}$, $C \colon \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to \mathbb{R}^{s,r}$, where we set $C(z_j) = w_j$ and $C^\tau(w_j) = -z_j$. Let P_j, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ be mutually commuting isometric involutions on $V^{r,s}$ obtained by product of some J_{z_k}. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$ be mutually commuting isometric involutions on $V^{s,r}$ obtained from P_j by changing J_{z_k} to $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_k}$ and such that $AP_j = \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j A$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$. We denote by E^I and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$ the common eigenspaces of P_j and $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$, respectively. Then*

1. *the map A can be written as $A = \oplus A_I$, where $A_I \colon E^I \to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$ for any choice of $I = (k_1, \ldots, k_N)$;*
2. *if $\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} \colon E^I \to E^I$ for some I, then $\prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j} \colon \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I \to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$, and*

$$A_I \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j} (A_I^\tau)^{-1}(x_I), & \text{if} \quad p = 2m+1, \\ (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j} A_I(x_I), & \text{if} \quad p = 2m, \end{cases} \qquad x_I \in E_I,$$

$$A_I^\tau \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m+1} \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} (A_I)^{-1}(y_I), & \text{if} \quad p = 2m, +1 \\ (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} A_I^\tau(y_I), & \text{if} \quad p = 2m, \end{cases} \qquad y_I \in \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_I \,.$$

Corollary 5 gives an idea of a possible construction of an isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C \colon \mathcal{N}^{r,s} \to \mathcal{N}^{s,r}$. Choosing the bases $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{r+s}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{s+r}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{s,r}$ we define the map $C \colon \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to \mathbb{R}^{s,r}$, by setting $C(z_j) = w_j$ and $C^\tau(w_j) = -z_j$. Further, if we find mutually commuting isometric involutions P_j and $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$, acting on $V^{r,s}$ and $V^{s,r}$, respectively, we can reduce the construction of the map $A \colon V^{r,s} \to V^{s,r}$ to the construction of the maps $A^I \colon E^I \to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$. Finally, we set $A = \oplus A_I$. Theorem 3 states that, under some conditions, the construction of all maps A_I can be obtained from the only one map $A_1 \colon E^1 \to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$, where we denote $E^1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{N} E^1_{P_j}$.

Theorem 3. *We set $C(z_j) = w_j$ and $C^\tau(w_j) = -z_j$ for orthonormal bases $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{r+s}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ and $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{s+r}$ for $\mathbb{R}^{s,r}$. Let P_j, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ be mutually commuting isometric involutions on $V^{r,s}$ obtained by product of some J_{z_k} and $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$ be mutually commuting isometric involutions on $V^{s,r}$ obtained from P_j by changing J_{z_k} to $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_k} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_k)}$. We denote by E^I and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$ the common eigenspaces of P_j and $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$, respectively, and set $E^1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{N} E^1_{P_j}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{N} \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j}$. We assume also that*

(a) *there are maps $G_I \colon E^1 \to E^I$ for all multi-indices I, written in the form of product $G_I = \prod J_{z_i}$, and*

(b) *there exists a map $A_1 \colon E^1 \to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$ such that*

$$A_1 \prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)} (A_1^\tau)^{-1}, & \text{if} \quad p = 2m+1, \\ (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)} A_1, & \text{if} \quad p = 2m, \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

for any choice of the product $\prod_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j}$ that leaves invariant the space E^1.

Then there is a map $A\colon V^{r,s}\to V^{s,r}$ such that $\Phi = A\oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}^{r,s}\to\mathcal{N}^{s,r}$ is the Lie algebra isomorphism.

Proof. We define the maps $A_I\colon E^I\to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$ by the following

$$A_I=\begin{cases}(-1)^m\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I(A_1^{-1})^\tau G_I^{-1}, & \text{if } G_I=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p=2m+1} J_{z_j},\ \ \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p=2m+1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j},\\ (-1)^m\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I\,A_1G_I^{-1}, & \text{if } G_I=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p=2m} J_{z_j},\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p=2m}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j}\,.\end{cases}\tag{15}$$

Here and further $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_k}=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_k)}$. For the convenience we also write the adjoint maps.

$$A_I^\tau=\begin{cases}(-1)^{m+1}G_IA_1^{-1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}, & \text{if } G_I=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p=2m+1} J_{z_j},\ \ \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p=2m+1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j},\\ (-1)^mG_IA_1^\tau\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}, & \text{if } G_I=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p=2m} J_{z_j},\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I=\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p=2m}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j}\,.\end{cases}\tag{16}$$

Then we set $A=\oplus A_I$. We only need to check the condition $AJ_{z_j}A^\tau=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}$ for any z_j from the orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$.

Observe the following facts. The spaces E^I are mutually orthogonal because if $P_j(x)=x$, and $P_j(y)=-y$ for some isometry P_j, then

$$\langle\, x,-y\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}}=\langle\, P_j(x),P_j(y)\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}}=\langle\, x,y\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}}\quad\Longrightarrow\quad\langle\, x,y\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}}=0.\tag{17}$$

Thus $V^{r,s}=\oplus E^I$, and $V^{s,r}=\oplus\,\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$, where the direct sums are orthogonal. The maps G_I and $\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I$ are invertible and

$$G_I^{-1}=(\prod_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j})^{-1}=(-1)^p\prod_{j=1}^{p}\langle\, z_j,z_j\,\rangle_{r,s}^{-1}\prod_{k=0}^{p-1}J_{z_{p-k}}.\tag{18}$$

Lemma 3 implies that

$$(A_1^{-1})^\tau\prod_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}A_1^{-1}=(-1)^{m+1}\prod_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)},\qquad A_1\prod_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}A_1^\tau=(-1)^m\prod_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)},\tag{19}$$

if $p=2m+1$, $m=0,1,\dots$, and

$$(A_1^{-1})^\tau\prod_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}A_1^\tau=(-1)^m\prod_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)},\qquad A_1\prod_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}A_1^{-1}=(-1)^m\prod_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)},\tag{20}$$

if $p=2m$, $m=1,\dots$.

We choose $J_{z_{j_0}}$ and $y\in V^{s,r}=\oplus\,\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$. Then we write $y=\oplus y_I$ with $y_I\in\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$. Thus we distinguish the cases when the map G_I is the product of odd or even number of representation maps J_{z_i}. Moreover, for the multi-index I we find a multi-index K such that $G_K^{-1}J_{z_{j_0}}G_I$ leaves invariant the space E^1. Since G_K can also be product of even or odd number of J_{z_k}, we differ

the following cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&AJ_{z_{j_0}}A^\tau y_I = A_K J_{z_{j_0}} A_I^\tau y_I = \\
&= \begin{cases}
(-1)^{k+m+1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K (A_1^{-1})^\tau G_K^{-1} J_{z_{j_0}} G_I A_1^{-1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}\, y_I & \text{if } G_I = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2m+1} J_{z_i},\ G_K = \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2k+1} J_{z_l},\\
(-1)^{k+m+1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K\, A_1 G_K^{-1} J_{z_{j_0}} G_I A_1^{-1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}\, y_I & \text{if } G_I = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2m+1} J_{z_i},\ G_K = \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2k} J_{z_l},\\
(-1)^{k+m}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K (A_1^{-1})^\tau G_K^{-1} J_{z_{j_0}} G_I A_1^\tau\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}\, y_I & \text{if } G_I = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2m} J_{z_i},\ \ G_K = \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2k+1} J_{z_l},\\
(-1)^{k+m}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K\, A_1 G_K^{-1} J_{z_{j_0}} G_I A_1^\tau\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}\, y_I & \text{if } G_I = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2m} J_{z_i},\ \ G_K = \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2k} J_{z_l},
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

by definitions (15) and (16) of A_I and A_I^τ. Now we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{l=1}^{q} \frac{1}{\langle\, z_l, z_l\,\rangle_{r,s}} \prod_{n=0}^{q-1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_{q-n})} &= \prod_{l=1}^{q} \frac{-1}{\langle\, C(z_l), C(z_l)\,\rangle_{s,r}} \prod_{n=0}^{q-1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_{q-n})} \\
&= \prod_{n=0}^{q-1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}^{-1}_{C(z_{q-n})} = \widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K^{-1}\,. \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Counting the number of elements in the product $G_K^{-1} J_{z_{j_0}} G_I$ and using (18) for G_K, we apply corresponding formulas from (19) or (20), and then use (21). We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&AJ_{z_{j_0}}A^\tau y_I = \\
&= \begin{cases}
(-1)^{4k+2m+4}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K^{-1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_{j_0})}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}\, y_I & \text{if } G_I = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2m+1} J_{z_i},\ G_K = \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2k+1} J_{z_l},\\
(-1)^{4k+2m+2}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K^{-1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_{j_0})}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}\, y_I & \text{if } G_I = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2m+1} J_{z_i},\ G_K = \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2k} J_{z_l},\\
(-1)^{4k+2m+2}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K^{-1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_{j_0})}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}\, y_I & \text{if } G_I = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2m} J_{z_i},\ \ G_K = \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2k+1} J_{z_l},\\
(-1)^{4k+2m}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_K^{-1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_{j_0})}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I\,\widetilde{\mathrm{G}}_I^{-1}\, y_I & \text{if } G_I = \prod\limits_{i=1}^{2m} J_{z_i},\ \ G_K = \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2k} J_{z_l}.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $AJ_{z_{j_0}}A^\tau y_I = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_{j_0})}\, y_I$ and we finish the proof. □

3.3. **Isomorphic Lie algebras.** We start from the construction of the isomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ in 8 basic cases. We also show the existence of Lie algebra automorphisms $\Psi\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,r} \to \mathcal{N}_{r,r}$, $r = 1, 2, 4$ such that $\Psi = A \oplus C$, $CC^\tau = -\operatorname{Id}$. This allows to apply the periodicity arguments in Theorems 7 and 8 for the classification of higher dimensional Lie algebras.

In the forthcoming theorems in order to build a Lie algebra isomorphism we start from the construction of a convenient basis for the space $E^1 = \cap_{j=1}^N E^1_{P_j}$, where P_j, $j = 1, \dots, N$ are some mutually commuting isometric involutions. To construct the basis we need to find a vector $v \in E^1$ with $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}} = 1$. Therefore, one has to be sure that the restriction of $\langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}}$ to E^1 is positive definite or neutral. The following lemmas describe sufficient conditions for that. In the case when E^1 is one dimensional we change the sign of the scalar product on the module space if it needs, see Remark 1.

Lemma 4. *Let $(V, \langle .\,, .\rangle_V)$ be a neutral scalar product space and $P\colon V \to V$ an isometric involution. Then we have the following cases.*

1) *If a linear map $R\colon V \to V$ is an isometry such that $PR = -RP$, then each of eigenspaces E^1 and E^{-1} of P is a neutral scalar product space with respect to the restriction of the scalar product $\langle .\,,.\rangle_V$ on E^1 and E^{-1}.*
2) *If a linear map $R\colon V \to V$ is an anti-isometry such that $PR = -RP$, then the restriction of $\langle .\,,.\rangle_V$ on each of E^1, E^{-1} is non-degenerate neutral or sign definite,*
3) *If a linear map $R\colon V \to V$ is an anti-isometry such that $PR = RP$, then the restriction of $\langle .\,,.\rangle_V$ on each of E^1, E^{-1} is non-degenerate neutral.*

Proof. To show the first statement we observe that the isometry R acts as an isometry from E^1 to E^{-1}. Since the eigenspaces E^1 and E^{-1} are orthogonal, see (17), the scalar product $\langle .\,,.\rangle_V$ restricted to each E^1, E^{-1} is non-degenerate. If the scalar product restricted to E^1 would be positive definite, then the scalar product restricted to E^{-1} would be also positive definite, since the map R is an isometry which contradicts the assumption that space $(V, \langle .\,,.\rangle_V)$ is neutral. The same arguments show that the restriction to E^1 could not be negative definite. So the scalar product restricted to E^1 and therefore to E^{-1} should be neutral.

In order to prove the second statement, we note that since $R\colon E^1 \to E^{-1}$ is an anti-isometry, the restriction of $\langle .\,,.\rangle_V$ to E^1 can be sign definite and the restriction of $\langle .\,,.\rangle_V$ to E^{-1} will have opposite sign due to neutral nature of $(V, \langle .\,,.\rangle_V)$.

In the third case since the eigenspaces E^1 and E^{-1} are invariant under R but contains positive and negative vectors, then each of them is decomposed into subspaces of equal dimension and the restriction of $\langle .\,,.\rangle_V$ on these subspaces is sign definite but of opposite signs. Thus E^1 and E^{-1} are neutral spaces. □

Lemma 5. *Let $(V, \langle .\,,.\rangle_V)$ be a neutral scalar product space. Let $P_1, \dots, P_N$ be isometric mutually commuting involutions defined on $(V, \langle .\,,.\rangle_V)$ and $R_1, \dots, R_N, R_{N+1}$ linear anti-isometric operators on V such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &P_1R_1 = -R_1P_1, \quad P_1R_2 = R_2P_1, \quad \dots \quad P_1R_N = R_NP_1, \quad P_1R_{N+1} = R_{N+1}P_1,\\ &\qquad\quad P_2R_2 = -R_2P_2, \quad \dots \quad P_2R_N = R_NP_2, \quad P_2R_{N+1} = R_{N+1}P_2,\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \vdots\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad P_NR_N = -R_NP_N, \quad P_NR_{N+1} = R_{N+1}P_N. \end{aligned}$$

Then each common eigenspace E^I of $P_1, \dots, P_N$ is a non-trivial and neutral scalar product space.

Proof. Let us assume that P_1 and R_1, R_2 satisfies the conditions: $P_1R_1 = -R_1P_1$ and $P_1R_2 = R_2P_1$. The non-degeneracy of the restriction can be shown as in Lemma 4. The presence of the operator R_1 ensures that the restriction of $\langle .\,,.\rangle_V$ to $E^1_{P_1}$ or $E^{-1}_{P_1}$ is neutral or sign definite and the spaces $E^1_{P_1}$ and $E^{-1}_{P_1}$ have equal dimention. Since R_2 preserves $E^1_{P_1}$ and it is an anti-isometry, the space $E^1_{P_1}$ contains both positive and negative vectors forming subspaces of equal dimension. The same arguments, applied to $E^{-1}_{P_1}$. Thus, spaces $E^1_{P_1}$ and $E^{-1}_{P_1}$ are, actually, neutral spaces.

Now we repeat the arguments applying them to the neutral spaces $E^1_{P_1}$ and $E^{-1}_{P_1}$ and the operators P_2 and R_2, R_3. After N steps we finish the proof. □

We call the anti-isometric operators $R_1, \dots, R_N, R_{N+1}$, described in Lemma 5 *complementary operators* to the family $P_1, \dots, P_N$. In some of situations the operator R_{N+1} can be omitted, but we still call the system of operators $R_1, \dots, R_N$ complementary.

We say that three operators $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\colon V^{r,s} \to V^{r,s}$ form a quaternion structure if they satisfy the relation

$$\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = -\operatorname{Id}_{V^{r,s}}, \quad \mathbf{ij} = \mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{ji}, \quad \mathbf{jk} = \mathbf{i} = -\,\mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{j}, \quad \mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j} = -\,\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{k}\,. \tag{22}$$

Theorem 4. *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,0}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{0,r}$ are isomorphic for $r = 1, 2, 4, 8$.*

Proof. Case $r = 1$. The Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{1,0}$ has 2-dimensional minimal admissible module $V^{1,0}$ with positive definite scalar product. The 2-dimensional minimal admissible module $V^{0,1}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$. We choose the vectors $v \in V^{1,0}$ and $u \in V^{0,1}$, such that $\langle v, v \rangle_{V^{1,0}} = \langle u, u \rangle_{V^{0,1}} = 1$. Then we construct the orthonormal bases:

$$\{v,\ J_z v,\ z\} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{N}_{1,0}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \{u,\ J_w u,\ w\} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{N}_{0,1}.$$

The isomorphism map $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{1,0} \to \mathcal{N}_{0,1}$ is given by

$$v \mapsto u \quad J_z v \mapsto J_w u, \quad z \mapsto w,$$

and the non-vanising commutators are $[v, J_z v] = z$, and $[u, J_w u] = w$. Here $A^\tau u = v$, $A^\tau J_w u = -J_z v$, $C^\tau(w) = -z$. We see from the commutation relations that the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{1,0}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{0,1}$ are isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra.

Case $r = 2$. The minimal admissible module $V^{2,0}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{4,0}$ and $V^{0,2}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. We choose $v \in V^{2,0}$ and $u \in V^{0,2}$, with $\langle v, v \rangle_{V^{2,0}} = \langle u, u \rangle_{V^{0,2}} = 1$ and construct the orthonormal bases:

$$\{x_1 = v,\ x_2 = J_{z_1} v,\ x_3 = J_{z_2} v,\ x_4 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} v,\ z_1,\ z_2\} \ \text{ for } \ \mathcal{N}_{2,0},$$

$$\{y_1 = u,\ y_2 = J_{w_1} u,\ y_3 = J_{w_2} u,\ y_4 = J_{w_2} J_{w_1} u,\ w_1,\ w_2\} \text{ for } \mathcal{N}_{0,2}.$$

The isomorphism Φ is given by $x_j \mapsto y_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$ and $z_k \mapsto w_k$, $k = 1, 2$ and then it is extended by linearity. The non-vanishing commutation relations on $\mathcal{N}_{2,0}$ are

$$[x_1, x_2] = z_1, \quad [x_1, x_3] = z_2, \quad [x_2, x_4] = -z_2, \quad [x_3, x_4] = z_1,$$

and, correspondingly, for the basis of $\mathcal{N}_{0,2}$.

Case $r = 4$. The minimal admissible module $V^{4,0}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{8,0}$. We choose an isometric involution $P = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3} J_{z_4}$ on $V^{4,0}$ and write $V^{4,0} = E^1_P \oplus E^{-1}_P$. The operators $\mathbf{i} = J_{z_1} J_{z_2}$, $\mathbf{j} = J_{z_1} J_{z_3}$, $\mathbf{k} = J_{z_2} J_{z_3}$, define a quaternion structure on E^1_P, commute with P and therefore leave invariant the space E^1_P. Let $v \in V^{4,0}$ be such that $\langle v, v \rangle_{V^{4,0}} = 1$ and $P(v) = v$. Then

$$\{x_1 = v,\ x_2 = \mathbf{i}(v),\ x_3 = \mathbf{j}(v),\ x_4 = \mathbf{k}(v)\} \quad \text{is an orthonormal basis for} \quad E^1_P.$$

The minimal admissible module $V^{0,4}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_4}$ and we write $V^{0,4} = \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}} \oplus \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}}$. The complementary operators are $\widetilde{R}_1 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}$ and $\widetilde{R}_2 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}$. Choose $u \in \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}}$ such that $\langle u, u \rangle_{V^{0,4}} = 1$. Then the operators $\tilde{\mathbf{i}} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{j}} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} = -\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}$ are used to define the orthonormal basis $\{y_1 = u,\ y_2 = \tilde{\mathbf{i}}(u),\ y_3 = \tilde{\mathbf{j}}(u),\ y_4 = \tilde{\mathbf{k}}(u)\}$ for $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}}$.

Now we construct the map $\Phi = A \oplus C$ by setting $C(z_k) = w_k$, $C^\tau(w_k) = -z_k$ and $A = A_1 \oplus A_{-1}$ according to Corollary 5. To construct $A_1\colon E^1_P \to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}}$, we write $A_1(v) = (a_1 + a_2 \tilde{\mathbf{i}} + a_3 \tilde{\mathbf{j}} + a_4 \tilde{\mathbf{k}})u$. Moreover, A_1 has to satisfy the relations $A_1 \mathbf{i} = -\tilde{\mathbf{i}} A_1$, $A_1 \mathbf{j} = -\tilde{\mathbf{j}} A_1$, $A_1 \mathbf{k} = \tilde{\mathbf{k}} A_1$. Thus we obtain

$$A_1(x_2) = -\tilde{\mathbf{i}} A_1(v), \quad A_1(x_3) = -\tilde{\mathbf{j}} A_1(v), \quad A_1(x_4) = \tilde{\mathbf{k}} A_1(v).$$

We conclude that the matrix for the map A_1 is given by

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & -a_4 \\ a_2 & -a_1 & -a_4 & -a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 & -a_1 & a_2 \\ a_4 & -a_3 & a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that $\det A_1 = 0$ if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$. Any choice of a_j, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, such that $\det A_1 \neq 0$, defines the map A_1.

The map $J_{z_1}\colon E^1_P \to E^{-1}_P$ is used to define $A_{-1}\colon E^{-1}_P \to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}}$ by $A_{-1} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}(A_1^{-1})^\tau J^{-1}_{z_1}$. The proof of this case is finished by applying Theorem 3.

Case $r = 8$. Recall that the minimal admissible module $V^{8,0}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{16,0}$. We fix the mutually commuting isometric involutions acting on $V^{8,0}$:

$$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}, \quad P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6}, \quad P_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_7}J_{z_8}, \quad P_4 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}.$$

The common iegenspaces E^I are one dimensional. We construct an orthonormal basis for $V^{8,0}$ starting from a vector $v \in E^1 = \cap_{j=1}^4 E^1_{P_j}$ such that $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_{V^{8,0}} = 1$:

$$\begin{array}{llll} x_1 = v, & x_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v, & x_3 = J_{z_1}J_{z_3}v, & x_4 = J_{z_1}J_{z_4}v, \\ x_5 = J_{z_1}J_{z_5}v, & x_6 = J_{z_1}J_{z_6}v, & x_7 = J_{z_1}J_{z_7}v, & x_8 = J_{z_1}J_{z_8}v, \\ x_9 = J_{z_1}v, & x_{10} = J_{z_2}v, & x_{11} = J_{z_3}v, & x_{12} = J_{z_4}v, \\ x_{13} = J_{z_5}v, & x_{14} = J_{z_6}v, & x_{15} = J_{z_7}v, & x_{16} = J_{z_8}v. \end{array} \tag{23}$$

Analogously, the isometric involutions $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$, obtained by changing J_{z_j} to $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j}$ in P_j, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are used to construct an orthonormal basis for $V^{0,8}$ by changing $x_k = \prod_l J_{z_{j_l}} v$ to $y_k = \prod_l \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_{j_l}} u$, where $u \in \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$ with $\langle\, u, u\,\rangle_{V^{0,8}} = 1$. The complementary anti-isometric operators $\widetilde{R}_1 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}$, $\widetilde{R}_2 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_6}$, and $\widetilde{R}_3 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}$ guarantee that the space $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}} = \cap_{j=1}^3 \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j}$ is two dimensional neutral. If the restriction of $\langle\, .\,, .\,\rangle_{V^{0,8}}$ to the space $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_4} \cap \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$ is not positive definite, then we change the sign of the scalar product by Remark 1.

We claim that the map $\Phi = A \oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{8,0} \to \mathcal{N}_{0,8}$ such that

$$A(v) = u, \quad A(x_j) = -y_j,\ j = 2, \dots, 8, \quad A(x_j) = y_j,\ j = 9, \dots, 16,$$

and $C(z_k) = w_k$, $C^\tau(w_k) = -z_k$, $k = 1, \dots, 8$ is the Lie algebra isomorphism. We show it by checking the commutators. First observe, that the structure of involutions implies that for any $1 < i < j \le 8$ there is $1 < k \le 8$ such that

$$J_{z_i}J_{z_j} = \pm J_{z_1}J_{z_k} \quad \text{and simultaneously} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_i}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j} = \pm\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_k}\,. \tag{24}$$

The second observation is that $[x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0$ if either $1 \le i, j \le 8$ or $9 \le i, j \le 16$. Indeed, for instance, for any $1 \le i \le 8$ and $1 < j \le 8$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [x_1, x_i], z_j\,\rangle_{8,0} &= \langle [v, J_{z_1}J_{z_i}v], z_j\,\rangle_{8,0} = \langle\, J_{z_j}v, J_{z_1}J_{z_i}v\,\rangle_{V^{8,0}} = \langle\, J_{z_i}J_{z_j}v, J_{z_1}v\,\rangle_{V^{8,0}} \\ &= \pm\langle\, J_{z_1}J_{z_k}v, J_{z_1}v\,\rangle_{V^{8,0}} = \pm\langle\, J_{z_k}v, v\,\rangle_{V^{8,0}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $1 < k \le 8$. Analogously, the rest of the cases is proved by using (24).

To show that $\Phi = A \oplus C$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism, we need to check $C[x_i, x_j] = [A(x_i), A(x_j)]$ for any choice of $i = 1, \dots 8$ and $j = 9, \dots 16$, since all other commutators vanish. We calculate for any $k = 1, \dots, 8$, $i = 1$, and $j = 9, \dots 16$

$$\langle\, C[x_1, x_j], w_k\,\rangle_{0,8} = -\langle [x_1, x_j], z_k\,\rangle_{8,0} = -\langle\, J_{z_k}v, J_{z_l}v\,\rangle_{V^{8,0}} = -\langle\, z_k, z_l\,\rangle_{8,0}\,\delta_{k,l},$$

$$\langle [A(x_1), A(x_j)], w_k\,\rangle_{0,8} = \langle [y_1, y_j], w_k\,\rangle_{0,8} = \langle\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_k}u, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_l}u\,\rangle_{V^{0,8}} = \langle\, w_k, w_l\,\rangle_{0,8}\,\delta_{k,l}.$$

Since $-\langle\, z_k, z_l\,\rangle_{8,0}\,\delta_{k,l} = \langle\, w_k, w_l\,\rangle_{0,8}\,\delta_{k,l}$, we obtain that $C[x_1, x_j] = [A(x_1), A(x_j)]$. We continue and calculate for any $k = 1, \dots, 8$, $i = 2, \dots 8$, and $j = 9, \dots 16$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\, C[x_i, x_j], w_k\,\rangle_{0,8} &= \langle [x_i, x_j], C^\tau(w_k)\,\rangle_{8,0} = -\langle [x_i, x_j], z_k\,\rangle_{8,0} \\ &= -\langle\, J_{z_k}J_{z_1}J_{z_m}v, J_{z_l}v\,\rangle_{V^{8,0}} = -\varepsilon\,\langle\, J_{z_1}J_{z_m}v, J_{z_1}J_{z_n}v\,\rangle_{V^{8,0}} \\ &= -\varepsilon\,\langle\, z_1, z_1\,\rangle_{8,0}\,\langle\, z_m, z_n\,\rangle_{8,0}\,\delta_{m,n}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and depends on number of permutations and sign in (24). Analogously

$$\begin{aligned}\langle [A(x_i), A(x_j)], w_k \rangle_{0,8} &= \langle [-y_i, y_j], w_k \rangle_{0,8} \\ &= -\langle \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_k} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_m} u, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_l} u \rangle_{V^{0,8}} = -\varepsilon \langle \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_m} u, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_n} u \rangle_{V^{0,8}} \\ &= -\varepsilon \langle w_1, w_1 \rangle_{0,8} \langle w_m, w_n \rangle_{0,8} \delta_{m,n},\end{aligned}$$

where the value of ε is the same as in (25), due to the same number of permutations and the equalities in (24). Since

$$-\varepsilon \langle z_1, z_1 \rangle_{8,0} \langle z_m, z_n \rangle_{8,0} \delta_{m,n} = -\varepsilon \langle w_1, w_1 \rangle_{0,8} \langle w_m, w_n \rangle_{0,8} \delta_{m,n}$$

we obtain that $C[x_i, x_j] = [A(x_i), A(x_j)]$ and finish the proof. Note that the map A^τ is given by

$$A^\tau(u) = v, \quad A^\tau(y_j) = -x_j,\ j = 2, \dots, 8, \quad A^\tau(y_j) = -x_j,\ j = 9, \dots, 16.$$

Remark that we can also construct the isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C$ with the same C declaring $A(v) = cu$ with any $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. □

We need a technical lemma.

Theorem 5. *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ are isomorphic for the values of indices $(r, s) \in \{(5,2),(5,1)\}$ and $\{(6,2),(6,1)\}$.*

Proof. Case $(r, s) = (5, 2)$. The minimal admissible module $V^{5,2}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{8,8}$. We fix two mutually commuting isometric involutions and complementary anti-isometric operators

$$P_1 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3} J_{z_4}, \quad P_2 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_6} J_{z_7}, \qquad R_1 = J_{z_4} J_{z_6}, \quad R_2 = J_{z_5} J_{z_6}.$$

We also define a quaternion structure

$$\mathbf{i} = J_{z_1} J_{z_2}, \quad \mathbf{j} = J_{z_1} J_{z_3} J_{z_5} J_{z_7}, \quad \mathbf{k} = J_{z_2} J_{z_3} J_{z_5} J_{z_7}. \tag{26}$$

Table 4 shows that all the spaces $E^I = \bigcap_{j=1}^{2} E_{P_j}^{k_j}$, with multi indices $I = (k_1, k_2)$, $k_j \in \{1, -1\}$,

Table 4. Commutation relations of operators on $V^{5,2}$

	J_{z_1}	J_{z_2}	J_{z_3}	J_{z_4}	J_{z_5}	J_{z_6}	J_{z_7}	R_1	R_2	$\mathbf{i}$	$\mathbf{j}$	$\mathbf{k}$
P_1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1
P_2	-1	-1	1	1	1	-1	-1		-1	1	1	1

are neutral 4-dimensional spaces invariant under the action of quaternion structure (26), which allows to find a convenient basis of $V^{5,2}$. Let $E^1 = E^{1,1}$ and $v \in E^1$ with $\langle v, v \rangle_{V^{5,2}} = 1$. Then the basis $\{x_1 = v,\ x_2 = \mathbf{i}(v),\ x_3 = \mathbf{j}(v),\ x_4 = \mathbf{k}(v)\}$ for E^1 is orthonormal by Lemma 1, where we set $\mathbb{J} = \mathbf{j}$. Analogous calculations we make for the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{2,5}$. The mutually commuting isometric involutions and the anti-isometric complementary operators are

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_1 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_4}, \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_2 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_6} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}, \qquad \widetilde{R}_1 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}, \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_2 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_6}.$$

We also fix the quaternion structure on $V^{2,5}$ $\tilde{\mathbf{i}} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{j}} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{k}} = -\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}$. The orthonormal basis of $V^{2,5}$ is produced from a vector $u \in \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^{1,1}$ with $\langle u, u \rangle_{V^{2,5}} = 1$ by action of this quaternion structure.

Let us assume that there is an isomorphism $\Phi \colon \mathcal{N}_{5,2} \to \mathcal{N}_{2,5}$, $\Phi = A \oplus C$, $A \colon V^{5,2} \to V^{2,5}$, where we define C by $C(z_j) = w_j$, $C^\tau(w_j) = -z_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 7$. Then according to Lemma 3 the map $A \colon V^{5,2} \to V^{2,5}$ has to satisfy the relations

$$A P_j = \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j A, \quad A\,\mathbf{i} = -\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\, A, \quad A\,\mathbf{j} = \tilde{\mathbf{j}}\, A, \quad A\,\mathbf{k} = -\tilde{\mathbf{k}}\, A.$$

Thus, we apply Corollary 5 and set $A=\oplus A_I$. To construct $A_1\colon E^1\to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$ we write $A_1(v)=(a_1+a_2\,\tilde{\mathbf{i}}+a_3\,\tilde{\mathbf{j}}+a_4\,\tilde{\mathbf{k}})u$, $a_j\in\mathbb{R}$. Since

$$A_1(x_2)=-\,\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\,A_1(v),\quad A_1(x_3)=\tilde{\mathbf{j}}\,A_1(v),\quad A_1(x_4)=-\,\tilde{\mathbf{k}}\,A_1(v),$$

we obtain the matrices for the map A_1 and A_1^τ:

$$A_1=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & -a_3 & a_4\\ a_2 & -a_1 & a_4 & a_3\\ a_3 & a_4 & a_1 & -a_2\\ a_4 & -a_3 & -a_2 & -a_1\end{pmatrix},\qquad A_1^\tau=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & -a_3 & -a_4\\ a_2 & -a_1 & -a_4 & a_3\\ a_3 & -a_4 & a_1 & -a_2\\ -a_4 & -a_3 & -a_2 & -a_1\end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

To find relations between a_j, we observe that J_{z_5} preserves E^1 and $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}$ preserves $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$ and therefore they have to satisfy the relation $A_1^\tau\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\,A_1=-J_{z_5}$. In order to calculate the matrices for J_{z_5} and $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}$ we observe that the isometric involution $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}$ commuts with P_1 and P_2 and $E^1\cap E^1_T=E^1$. Therefore $Tv=v$ and we obtain $J_{z_5}v=-\,\mathbf{i}\,v$. To find the matrix $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}$ we note that the isometric involution $\widetilde{T}=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}$ commuts with $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$, $j=1,2$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1=E^1_{\widetilde{T}}\oplus E^{-1}_{\widetilde{T}}$, where the eigenspaces $E^1_{\widetilde{T}}$ and $E^{-1}_{\widetilde{T}}$ of $\widetilde{T}$ are neutral. Thus, we can assume that $\widetilde{T}u=u$, which leads to $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\,u=\tilde{\mathbf{j}}\,u$. Then

$$A_1^\tau\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\,A_1=A_1^\tau\begin{pmatrix}0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&1\\1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix}A_1=-\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0\\-1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1\\0&0&-1&0\end{pmatrix}=-J_{z_5}. \tag{28}$$

Thus, equation (28) leads to three relations

$$-2a_2a_3+2a_1a_4=-1,\quad a_1a_2+a_3a_4=0,\quad a_1^2-a_2^2+a_3^2-a_4^2=0,$$

giving the solution

$$a_2=a_3,\quad a_1=-a_4,\quad a_1^2+a_3^2=\frac{1}{2}. \tag{29}$$

The operator $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}$ leaves invariant subspace E^1 and therefore we have to check the equality $A_1J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}A_1^\tau=-\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}$. We calculate

$$A_1J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}A_1^\tau=-\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\,A_1J_{z_5}A_1^\tau=-\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5},$$

where we used the relations $A_1\,\mathbf{i}=-\,\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\,A_1$ and $A_1J_{z_5}A_1^\tau=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}$.

To construct the remaining parts A_I of the map A, we use Theorem 3 and the maps

$$G_{1,-1}=J_{z_6}\colon E^1\to E^{1,-1},\quad G_{-1,1}=J_{z_3}\colon E^1\to E^{-1,1},\quad G_{-1,-1}=J_{z_1}\colon E^1\to E^{-1,-1}.$$

Observe that the solution (29) shows that A_1v is a null vector.

Case $(r,s)=(5,1)$. In this case we change the arguments and use the isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{5,2}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2,5}$. Assume that the map $\Phi=A\oplus C\colon\mathcal{N}_{5,2}\to\mathcal{N}_{2,5}$, and $C(z_k)=w_k$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. Recall that minimal admissible modules $V^{5,2},V^{2,5}$ and $V^{5,1},V^{1,5}$ are irreducible and isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{8,8}$. The natural inclusions $\mathbb{R}^{5,1}\subset\mathbb{R}^{5,2}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{1,5}\subset\mathbb{R}^{2,5}$ define the Clifford action of $\mathrm{Cl}_{5,1}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{1,5}$ on $V^{5,1}$ and $V^{1,5}$, respectively by restrictions of the Clifford action of $\mathrm{Cl}_{5,2}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2,5}$.

Let $\pi_-:\mathbb{R}^{5,2}\to\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$ be the projection map defined by

$$z_1\mapsto z_1,\ \ \dots,\ \ z_6\mapsto z_6,\quad z_7\mapsto 0,$$

and let $\pi_+:\mathbb{R}^{2,5}\to\mathbb{R}^{1,5}$ be the projection defined by

$$w_1\mapsto w_1,\ \ \dots,\ w_6\mapsto w_6,\quad w_7\mapsto 0.$$

Then the map

$$\mathrm{Id}\oplus\pi_-\colon \mathcal{N}_{5,2}=V^{5,2}\oplus\mathbb{R}^{5,2}\to\mathcal{N}_{5,1}=V^{5,1}\oplus\mathbb{R}^{5,1}$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism with kernel $K_-=\mathrm{span}\{z_7\}$. Also $\mathrm{Id}\oplus\pi_+$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{N}_{2,5}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{1,5}$ with kernel $K_+=\mathrm{span}\{w_7\}$. Then the isomorphism Φ induces an isomorphism $\overline{\Phi}$ between $\mathcal{N}_{5,1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1,5}$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\{0\} & \longrightarrow & K_- & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{5,2} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\oplus\pi_-} & \mathcal{N}_{5,1} & \longrightarrow & \{0\}\\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle C} & & \Phi\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\Phi}} & & \\
\{0\} & \longrightarrow & K_+ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{2,5} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}\oplus\pi_+} & \mathcal{N}_{1,5} & \longrightarrow & \{0\}.
\end{array}$$

Hence the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{5,1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1,5}$ are isomorphic.

The Lie algebra isomorphism $\bar{\Phi}\colon \mathcal{N}_{5,1}\to\mathcal{N}_{1,5}$ can be also induced by the isomorphism $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{6,1}\to\mathcal{N}_{1,6}$, which we will construct later in this theorem.

Case $(r,s)=(6,2)$. The minimal admissible modules, that are also irreducible, of $\mathrm{Cl}_{6,2}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2,6}$ are isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{16,16}$. We fix mutually commuting isometric involutions

$$P_1=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4},\quad P_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6},\quad P_3=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_7}J_{z_8},$$

on $V^{6,2}$ and the complementary anti-isometric operators $R_1=J_{z_3}J_{z_7}$, $R_2=J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$, $R_3=J_{z_7}$. Define the quaternion structure $\mathbf{i}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}$, $\mathbf{j}=J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$, $\mathbf{k}=J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$. Each of the space $E^I=\bigcap\limits_{j=1}^{3}E_{P_j}^{k_j}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$ according to Table 5. Denote $E^1=\cap_{j=1}^3E^1_{P_j}$ and fix

Table 5. Commutation relations of operators on $V^{6,2}$

	J_{z_1}	J_{z_2}	J_{z_3}	J_{z_4}	J_{z_5}	J_{z_6}	J_{z_7}	J_{z_8}	R_1	R_2	R_3	$\mathbf{i}$	$\mathbf{j}$	$\mathbf{k}$
P_1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1
P_2	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1		-1	1	1	1	1
P_3	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1			-1	1	1	1

a vector $v\in E^1$ such that $\langle v,v\rangle_{V^{6,2}}=1$. Likewise we choose

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_1=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_4},\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_2=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_6},\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_3=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_8}\quad \text{involutions,}$$

$$\widetilde{R}_1=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1},\quad \widetilde{R}_2=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5},\quad \widetilde{R}_3=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\quad \text{complementary operators,}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{i}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2},\quad \tilde{\mathbf{j}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7},\quad \tilde{\mathbf{k}}=-\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}\quad \text{quaternion structure.}$$

The table of commutations is preserved if we change J_{z_k} to $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_k}$.

Assume that there is a Lie algebra isomorphism

$$\Phi=A\oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{6,2}\to\mathcal{N}_{2,6},\qquad C(z_k)=w_k,\quad C^\tau(w_k)=-z_k.$$

Since $AP_j=\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j\,A$ we have $A=\oplus A_I$, $A_I\colon E^I\to\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$, and

$$A_I\,\mathbf{i}=-\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\,A_I,\quad A_I\,\mathbf{j}=\tilde{\mathbf{j}}\,A_I,\quad A_I\,\mathbf{k}=-\tilde{\mathbf{k}}\,A_I\quad\text{for any}\quad I=(k_1,k_2,k_3)\tag{30}$$

by Corollary 5. The map $A_1\colon E^1\to\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$ is defined by the relation $A_1v=(a_1+a_2\,\tilde{\mathbf{i}}+a_3\,\tilde{\mathbf{j}}+a_4\,\tilde{\mathbf{k}})u$ and (30) for $I=(1,1,1)$. All other operators leaving the space E^1 invariant are linear combination of quaternion structure.

The maps A_I for other multi-indices I are constructed by Theorem 3 by making use of the following maps

$$\begin{array}{rclrcl} G_{1,1,-1} &=& J_{z_7}\colon E^1\to E^{1,1,-1}, & G_{1,-1,1} &=& J_{z_5}\colon E^1\to E^{1,-1,1},\\ G_{1,-1,-1} &=& J_{z_1}J_{z_3}\colon E^1\to E^{1,-1,-1}, & G_{-1,1,1} &=& J_{z_3}\colon E^1\to E^{-1,1,1},\\ G_{-1,1,-1} &=& J_{z_1}J_{z_5}\colon E^1\to E^{-1,1,-1}, & G_{-1,-1,1} &=& J_{z_1}J_{z_7}\colon E^1\to E^{-1,-1,1},\\ G_{-1,-1,-1} &=& J_{z_1}\colon E^1\to E^{-1,-1,-1}. \end{array}$$

Case $(r,s)=(6,1)$. The minimal admissible module $V^{6,1}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{8,8}$. The isometric involutions and the complementary anti-isometric operators are

$$P_1=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4},\quad P_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6},\qquad R_1=J_{z_1}J_{z_7},\quad R_2=J_{z_5}J_{z_7},\quad R_3=J_{z_7}.$$

The quaternion structure is $\mathbf{i}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}$, $\mathbf{j}=J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$, and $\mathbf{k}=J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$. Let $v\in E^1=$

Table 6. Commutation relations of operators on $V^{6,1}$

	J_{z_1}	J_{z_2}	J_{z_3}	J_{z_4}	J_{z_5}	J_{z_6}	J_{z_7}	R_1	R_2	R_3	$\mathbf{i}$	$\mathbf{j}$	$\mathbf{k}$
P_1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1
P_2	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1		-1	1	1	1	1
T	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1			-1	-1	-1	1

$\cap_{j=1}^2 E^1_{P_j}$ be such that $\langle\, v,v\,\rangle_{V^{6,1}}=1$. Then $\{v,\ \mathbf{i}(v),\ \mathbf{j}(v),\ \mathbf{k}(v)\}$ is an orthonormal basis for E^1. To show that the basis is orthogonal we argue as following. The operator $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}$ is an isometry, commutes with P_j, $j=1,2$, and therefore it decomposes the space E^1 on two orthogonal subspaces: $E^1=\operatorname{span}\{v,\mathbf{k}(v)\}\oplus\operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{i}(v),\mathbf{j}(v)\}$, see (17). If it is necessary we change v to $\tilde v$ by Lemma 1, where we set $\mathbb{J}=\mathbf{k}$.

Analogously, we fix the involutions and the anti-isometric complementary operators

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_1=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_4},\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_2=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_6},\qquad \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_1=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1},\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{R}}_2=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}$$

acting on $V^{1,6}$. Set the quaternion structure $\tilde{\mathbf{i}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{j}}=\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}=-\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_3}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_5}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}$. Choose a vector $u\in\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$ such that $\langle\, u,u\,\rangle_{V^{1,6}}=1$. By making use the quaternion structure we form an orthonormal basis on space $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$.

Is $\Phi=A\oplus C\colon\mathcal{N}_{6,1}\to\mathcal{N}_{1,6}$ is an isomorphism, then it has to satisfy Lemma 3. We construct the map $A\colon V^{6,1}\to V^{1,6}$, $A=\oplus A_I$, by blocks $A_I\colon E^I\to\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I$. Put $A_1(v)=(a_1+a_2\,\tilde{\mathbf{i}}+a_3\,\tilde{\mathbf{j}}+a_4\,\tilde{\mathbf{k}})u\neq 0$. Then by the action of quaternion structure

$$A_1\,\mathbf{i}(v)=-\,\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\,A_1(v),\quad A_1\,\mathbf{j}(v)=\tilde{\mathbf{j}}\,A_1(v),\quad A_1\,\mathbf{k}(v)=-\,\tilde{\mathbf{k}}\,A_1(v),$$

we find all the coefficients of A_1. The map A_1 must satisfies the condition $A_1^\tau\,\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}\,A_1=-J_{z_7}$. Arguing as in the case of the construction of the isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_{5,2}\cong\mathcal{N}_{2,5}$, we fined that $J_{z_7}v=-\,\mathbf{j}(v)$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_7}\,u=-\,\tilde{\mathbf{i}}(v)$ and the matrices A and A^τ are given by (27). thus we obtain the solution $a_2=-a_3$, $a_1=a_4$, $a_1^2+a_3^2=\frac{1}{2}$. We finish the proof by applying Theorem 3 and using the maps

$$G_{1,-1}=J_{z_5}\colon E^1\to E^{1,-1},\quad G_{-1,1}=J_{z_3}\colon E^1\to E^{-1,1},\quad G_{-1,-1}=J_{z_1}\colon E^1\to E^{-1,-1}.$$

□

Theorem 6. *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,r}$, admit a Lie algebra automorphism $\Psi=A\oplus C\colon\mathcal{N}_{r,r}=V^{r,r}\oplus\mathbb{R}^{r,r}\to\mathcal{N}_{r,r}=V^{r,r}\oplus\mathbb{R}^{r,r}$ with $CC^\tau=-\operatorname{Id}$, if $r=1,2,4$.*

Proof. Case $\mathcal{N}_{1,1}$. The minimal admissible module $V^{1,1}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$. We choose the basis $\{v,\ J_{z_1}v,\ J_{z_2}v,\ J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v,\ \ z_1,\ z_2\}$ for $\mathcal{N}_{1,1}$. Set $C(z_1)=z_2$, $C(z_2)=z_1$, and $C^\tau(z_1)=-z_2$, $C^\tau(z_2)=-z_1$. In order to satisfy Lemma 3 we define

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad A^\tau=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&0&-1&0\\0&-1&0&0\\0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Case $\mathcal{N}_{2,2}$. The minimal admissible module $V^{2,2}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. We fix the isometric involution $P=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}$ and choose the basis

$$\left\{\begin{array}{llll}x_1=v, & x_2=J_{z_1}v, & x_3=J_{z_2}v, & x_4=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v,\\ x_5=J_{z_3}v, & x_6=J_{z_4}v, & x_7=J_{z_1}J_{z_3}v, & x_8=J_{z_1}J_{z_4}v\end{array}\right\}\quad\text{for}\quad V^{2,2},$$

where the vector v is such that $Pv=v$ and $\langle\, v,v,\rangle_{V^{2,2},}=1$. We first listed the positive vectors of the basis and then negative vectors and therefore the matrix for the metric is the standard one: the diagonal matrix $I_{4,4}$ with first four diagonal entries 1 and the last four diagonal entries (-1). Satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3 we set

$$C=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&1\\0&0&1&0\\0&1&0&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad A=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&-1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Case $\mathcal{N}_{4,4}$. The minimal admissible module $V^{4,4}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{8,8}$. We fix the isometric involutions

$$P_1=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4},\ P_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6},\ P_3=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_7}J_{z_8},\ P_4=J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}.$$

We choose v such that $P_j(v)=v$, $j=1,2,3,4$, and $\langle\, v,v\,\rangle_{V^{2,2},}=1$ and construct the basis for $V^{4,4}$, where we place first the positive vectors and then the negative ones.

$$\left\{\begin{array}{llll}x_1=v, & x_2=J_{z_1}v, & x_3=J_{z_2}v, & x_4=J_{z_3}v,\\ x_5=J_{z_4}v, & x_6=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v, & x_7=J_{z_1}J_{z_3}v, & x_8=J_{z_1}J_{z_4}v,\\ x_9=J_{z_5}v, & x_{10}=J_{z_6}v, & x_{11}=J_{z_7}v, & x_{12}=J_{z_8}v,\\ x_{13}=J_{z_1}J_{z_5}v, & x_{14}=J_{z_1}J_{z_6}v, & x_{15}=J_{z_1}J_{z_7}v, & x_{16}=J_{z_1}J_{z_8}v.\end{array}\right\}\tag{31}$$

Now we define C as before by $C(z_j)=z_{9-j}$ and $C^\tau(z_j)=-z_{9-j}$, $j=1,\dots,8$. The map A is also similar to the previous cases. Namely, we set

$$A(v)=v,\quad A(J_{z_j}v)=J_{C(z_j)}v,\quad\text{and}\quad A^\tau(v)=v,\quad A^\tau(J_{z_j}v)=-J_{C^\tau(z_j)}v.$$

Then also

$$A(J_{z_j}J_{z_k}v)=\begin{cases}-J_{C(z_j)}J_{C(z_k)}v, & \text{if}\quad J_{z_j}J_{z_k}v\text{ is positive,}\\ J_{C(z_j)}J_{C(z_k)}v, & \text{if}\quad J_{z_j}J_{z_k}v\text{ is negative,}\end{cases}$$

and analogously for A^τ. All conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied. □

Theorem 7. *If the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, then*

1. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s+8k,r}$ are isomorphic;*
2. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r+8k,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r+8k}$ are isomorphic;*
3. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r+4k,s+4k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s+4k,r+4k}$ are isomorphic.*

for any $k=1,2,\dots$.

Proof. Recall that if $(V^{r,s}, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}})$ is a minimal admissible module, then the products

$$V^{r,s}\otimes V^{0,8},\quad V^{r,s}\otimes V^{8,0},\quad V^{r,s}\otimes V^{4,4}$$

are minimal admissible if $V^{0,8}$, $V^{8,0}$, and $V^{4,4}$ are minimal admissible modules, see [16]. The scalar product on $V^{r,s+8}$ is given by the product of bilinear symmetric forms on $V^{r,s}$ and $V^{0,8}$. Analogously, for the tensor products with $V^{8,0}$ and $V^{4,4}$. The representations are constructed as follows. Let $\{\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_8\}$ be an orthonormal bases for $\mathbb{R}^{0,8}$, $\mathbb{R}^{8,0}$, or $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$, and $\bar{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}$, $\alpha=1,\dots,8$ be the respective representations. Let J_{z_j}, $j=1,\dots,r+s$ be representations of an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$. We denote by $\Omega^{0,8}=\prod_{\alpha=1}^{8}\bar{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}$ the volume form for $\mathrm{Cl}_{0,8}$ and analogously for others Clifford algebras. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_{z_j} &= J_{z_j}\otimes\Omega^{0,8}\quad\text{for}\quad j=1,\dots,r+s,\\ \hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha} &= \mathrm{Id}_{V^{r,s}}\otimes\bar{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}\quad\text{for}\quad \alpha=1,\dots,8.\end{aligned}$$

Then the maps $\hat{J}_{z_j}$ and $\hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}$ are representations of an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s+8}$ as it was shown in [16]. If we substitute the volume form $\Omega^{0,8}$ by $\Omega^{8,0}$ or $\Omega^{4,4}$, then we obtain the representations for the basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{r+8,s}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{r+4,s+4}$, respectively.

We start from the proof of the first case, since the rest can be proven similarly. Let $\Phi=A\oplus C\colon\mathcal{N}_{r,s}\to\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ and $\bar{\Phi}=\bar{A}\oplus\bar{C}\colon\mathcal{N}_{0,8}\to\mathcal{N}_{8,0}$ be the Lie algebra isomorphisms, with $A\colon V^{r,s}\to V^{s,r}$ and $\bar{A}\colon V^{0,8}\to V^{8,0}$. Let P_j, $j=1,\dots,p$ and Q_k, $k=1,2,3,4$, be mutually commuting isometric involutions on $V^{r,s}$ and $V^{0,8}$, respectively. Then $\hat{P}_j=P_j\otimes\mathrm{Id}$ and $\hat{Q}_k=\mathrm{Id}\otimes Q_k$ are mutually commuting isometric involutions on $V^{r,s}\otimes V^{0,8}$. Let $E^1=\cap_{j=1}^{p}E^1_{P_j}$, $F^1=\cap_{k=1}^{4}E^1_{Q_j}$ and

$$v\in E^1,\ \ \langle\,v,v\,\rangle_{V^{r,s}}=1,\qquad u\in F^1,\ \ \langle\,u,u\,\rangle_{V^{0,8}}=1.$$

We have $\hat{P}_j(v\otimes u)=P_j(v)\otimes\mathrm{Id}(u)=v\otimes u$, and $\hat{Q}_k(v\otimes u)=\mathrm{Id}(v)\otimes Q_k(u)=v\otimes u$. Therefore $v\otimes u\in E^1\otimes F^1$ and $\langle\,v\otimes u,v\otimes u\,\rangle_{V^{r,s+8}}=1$. The linear map $\hat{A}\colon V^{r,s+8}\to V^{s+8,r}$ of a Lie algebra isomorphism $\hat{\Phi}=\hat{A}\oplus\hat{C}\colon\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8}\to\mathcal{N}_{s+8,r}$ should satisfy Lemma 3. Thus we could apply Corollary 5 and start the construction of $\hat{A}$ from the map $\hat{A}_{1,1}\colon E^1\otimes F^1\to\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1\otimes\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}^1$ and then extend it to an arbitrary $\hat{A}_{IJ}\colon E^I\otimes F^J\to\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I\otimes\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}^J$. Observe that if $\prod J_{z_k}$ leaves invariant the space E^1, then the product $\prod\hat{J}_{z_j}$ leaves the space $E^1\otimes F^1$ invariant and, analogously, if $\prod J_{\zeta_\alpha}$ leaves invariant the space F^1, then $\prod\hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}$ leaves the space $E^1\otimes F^1$ invariant. As a consequence, we also obtain that the space $E^1\otimes F^1$ will be invariant under the action of $\prod\hat{J}_{z_j}\prod\hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}$.

We denote by $\{z_1,\dots,r_{r+s},\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_8\}$ an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{r,s+8}$ with

$$\{z_1,\dots,z_r\}\text{ positive and }\{z_{r+1},\dots,z_{r+s},\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_8\}\text{ negative elements.}$$

Then, let $\{w_{r+s},\dots,w_1,\omega_8,\dots,\omega_1\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{s+8,r}$ with

$$\{w_{r+s},\dots,w_{r+1},\omega_8,\dots\omega_1\}\text{ positive vectors and }\{w_r,\dots,w_1\}\text{ negative vectors.}$$

We let the map $\hat{C}\colon\mathbb{R}^{r,s+8}\to\mathbb{R}^{s+8,r}$ act on the basis by the following

$$\hat{C}(z_j)=w_j,\ \hat{C}^\tau(w_j)=-z_j,\ j=1,\dots,r+s,\ \ \hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)=\omega_\alpha,\ \hat{C}^\tau(\omega_\alpha)=-\zeta_\alpha,\ \alpha=1,\dots,8.$$

We define the map $\hat{A}_{1,1}\colon E^1\otimes F^1\to\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1\otimes\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}^1$ by its action on different type of products of $\hat{J}_{z_j}$ and $\hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}$. Recall that $\prod_{j=1}^{p}\hat{J}_{z_j}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{q}\hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}=\prod_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}\otimes(\Omega^{0,8})^p\prod_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}$. Then we define

$\hat{A}_{1,1}\prod_{j=1}^{p}\hat{J}_{z_j}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{q}\hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha}=$

$$\begin{cases} A_1\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}\otimes\bar{A}_1(\Omega^{0,8})^p\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}=(-1)^{m+k}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}(A_1^\tau)^{-1}\otimes\Omega^{8,0}\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}(\bar{A}_1^\tau)^{-1},\\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m+1,\quad q=2k+1,\\ A_1\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}\otimes\bar{A}_1(\Omega^{0,8})^p\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}=(-1)^{m+k}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}(A_1^\tau)^{-1}\otimes\Omega^{8,0}\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}\bar{A}_1,\\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m+1,\quad q=2k,\\ A_1\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}\otimes\bar{A}_1\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}=(-1)^{m+k}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}A_1\otimes\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}(\bar{A}_1^\tau)^{-1},\\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m,\quad q=2k+1,\\ A_1\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}\otimes\bar{A}_1\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}=(-1)^{m+k}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}A_1\otimes\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}\bar{A}_1,\\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m,\quad q=2k. \end{cases}\tag{32}$$

We also can write the transposed map $\hat{A}_{1,1}^\tau$ by $\hat{A}_{1,1}^\tau\prod_{j=1}^{p}\hat{J}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{q}\hat{J}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}=$

$$\begin{cases} A_1^\tau\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}\otimes\bar{A}_1^\tau(\Omega^{8,0})^p\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}=(-1)^{m+k}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}A_1^{-1}\otimes\Omega^{0,8}\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}\bar{A}_1^{-1},\\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m+1,\quad q=2k+1,\\ A_1^\tau\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}\otimes\bar{A}_1^\tau(\Omega^{8,0})^p\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}=(-1)^{m+k+1}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}A_1^{-1}\otimes\Omega^{0,8}\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}\bar{A}_1^\tau,\\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m+1,\quad q=2k,\\ A_1^\tau\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}\otimes\bar{A}_1^\tau\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}=(-1)^{m+k+1}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}A_1^\tau\otimes\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}\bar{A}_1^{-1},\\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m,\quad q=2k+1,\\ A_1^\tau\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}\otimes\bar{A}_1^\tau\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}=(-1)^{m+k}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j}A_1^\tau\otimes\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}J_{\zeta_\alpha}\bar{A}_1^\tau,\\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m,\quad q=2k. \end{cases}$$

Then the maps $G_{IJ}=G_I\otimes\bar{G}_J\colon E^1\otimes F^1\to E^I\otimes F^J$ will be used to define $\hat{A}_{IJ}\colon E^I\otimes F^J\to\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^I\otimes\widetilde{\mathrm{F}}^J$. Namely

$$\hat{A}_{IJ}=\begin{cases}(-1)^m\widetilde{G}_{IJ}(\hat{A}_{1,1}^{-1})^\tau G_{IJ}^{-1} & \text{if}\quad p=2m+1,\\ (-1)^m\widetilde{G}_{IJ}\hat{A}_{1,1}G_{IJ}^{-1} & \text{if}\quad p=2m,\end{cases}\tag{33}$$

and

$$\hat{A}_{IJ}^\tau=\begin{cases}(-1)^{m+1}G_{IJ}\hat{A}_{1,1}^{-1}\widetilde{G}_{IJ}^{-1} & \text{if}\quad p=2m+1,\\ (-1)^m G_{IJ}\hat{A}_{1,1}^\tau\widetilde{G}_{IJ}^{-1} & \text{if}\quad p=2m.\end{cases}$$

Thus, we obtain that the map $\hat{\Phi}=\hat{A}\oplus\hat{C}$, with $\hat{A}=\oplus_{IJ}\hat{A}_{IJ}$, is a Lie algebra isomorphism from $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8}$ to $\mathcal{N}_{s+8,r}$, according to Corollary 5. We recall that we can choose the following

map $\bar{A}$: $\bar{A}_1 v = u$ and since the spaces F^J are one dimensional, the corresponding maps $G_J\colon F^1 \to F^J$ are given by the basis (23).

The third statement is proved analogously, where we change the map $\bar{A}\colon V^{0,8} \to V^{8,0}$ to the map $\bar{A}\colon V^{4,4} \to V^{4,4}$ constructed in Theorem 6. Then we use the definitions (32) and (33) to construct the isomorphism $\hat{\Phi} = \hat{A} \oplus \hat{C}\colon \mathcal{N}_{r+4,s+4} \to \mathcal{N}_{s+4,r+4}$ by tensor product, where we change the volume forms $\Omega^{0,8}$ and $\Omega^{8,0}$ to $\Omega^{4,4}$. The maps $G_J\colon F^1 \to F^J$ are given by the basis (31). □

Remark 3. *The reader can recognise in the construction of $\hat{A}$ the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded tensor product. Indeed we write $A = A^0 \oplus A^1$ and $\bar{A} = \bar{A}^0 \oplus \bar{A}^1$, where A^0 and $\bar{A}^0$ act on the even product of generators J_{z_j} and A^1 and $\bar{A}^1$ act on the odd product of generators. Then formula* (32) *can be written as follows*

$$\hat{A}_1 = A_1 \hat{\otimes} \bar{A}_1 = (A_1 \otimes \bar{A}_1)^0 \oplus (A_1 \otimes \bar{A}_1)^1 = \big((A_1^0 \otimes \bar{A}_1^0) \oplus (A_1^1 \otimes \bar{A}_1^1)\big) \otimes \big((A_1^0 \otimes \bar{A}_1^1) \oplus (A_1^1 \otimes \bar{A}_1^0)\big).$$

This is not surprising, according to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded structure of Clifford algebra and the isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{p,q}) \cong \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{r,s}) \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^{p,q})$, based on the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$.

Theorem 8. *The following is true:*

1. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,r+8k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r+8k,r}$ are isomorphic for $r = 1, 2, 4$;*
2. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r+4k,r+4k}$, $r = 1, 2, 4$ admit an automorphism $\Psi = A \oplus C$ with $CC^\tau = -\mathrm{Id}$.*

Proof. The proof is literary the same as the proof of Theorem 7, where we need to change the existence of an isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s} \to \mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ to an automorphism $\Psi = A \oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,r} \to \mathcal{N}_{r,r}$. □

3.4. **Non-isomorphic Lie algebras.** We start from a small technical observation.

Lemma 6. *Let $(V, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_V)$ be a neutral space and T a linear map on V with the properties: $T^2 = \mathrm{Id}$ and the scalar product $(x, y) := \langle\, x, Ty\,\rangle_V$ is positive definite. Then there is no linear map S on V, such that $S = S^\tau$, where S^τ is transposed with respect to $\langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_V$, and $STS = -T$.*

Proof. Let us assume that a linear map $S\colon V \to V$ such that $S = S^\tau$ and $STS = -T$ exists. Then ${}^tST = TS$, where ${}^tS$ is the transposition with respect to the positive definite scalar product $(.\,,.)$ and therefore

$$-T = STS = T({}^tS)TTS = T({}^tS)S \quad \Longrightarrow \quad {}^tSS = -\mathrm{Id},$$

which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 9. *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ for $(r, s) \in \{(3,1), (3,2), (3,7), (3,11)\}$ are not isomorphic.*

Proof. Case $(r, s) = (3, 1)$. The minimal admissible module $V^{3,1}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{4,4}$. We define the isometric involution $T = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3}$ and the orthonormal basis for $V^{3,1}$, starting from $v \in V^{3,1}$, $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_{V^{3,1}} = 1$, and $Tv = v$:

$$\begin{array}{llll} x_1 = v, & x_2 = J_{z_1} v, & x_3 = J_{z_2} v, & x_4 = J_{z_3} v, \\ x_5 = J_{z_4} v, & x_6 = J_{z_4} J_{z_1} v, & x_7 = J_{z_4} J_{z_2} v, & x_8 = J_{z_4} J_{z_3} v, \end{array} \tag{34}$$

with $\langle\, x_k, x_k\,\rangle_{V^{3,1}} = -\langle\, x_{k+4}, x_{k+4}\,\rangle_{V^{3,1}} = 1$, $k = 1, \dots, 4$. Moreover $T(x_i) = x_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $T(x_i) = -x_i$, $i = 5, 6, 7, 8$. Assume that there is an isomorphism $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{3,1} \to \mathcal{N}_{1,3}$, $\Phi = A \oplus C$ such that $A\colon V^{3,1} \to V^{1,3}$ and $C(z_j) = w_j$. Then the map $\Phi^\tau \Phi = A^\tau A \oplus -\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{3,2}}\colon \mathcal{N}_{3,2} \to \mathcal{N}_{3,2}$ is an automorphism by Lemma 2. Denote $S = A^\tau A$ and obtain a contradiction as in Lemma 6 with $V = V^{3,1}$ and $T = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3}$.

CASE $(r,s)=(3,2)$. We consider mutually commuting isometric involutions and the complementary anti-isometric operators

$$P=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5},\quad T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}\qquad R_1=J_{z_5},\quad R_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_4}$$

acting on $V^{3,2}$. In Table 7 we show commutation relations of the involutions, complementary

TABLE 7.

	J_{z_1}	J_{z_2}	J_{z_3}	J_{z_4}	J_{z_5}	R_1	R_2
P	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1
T	1	1	1	-1	-1		-1

operators, and the representation maps J_{z_j}. We conclude that the spaces E^1_P and E^{-1}_P are neutral. We pick up a vector $v\in E^1_P$, $\langle\, v,v\,\rangle_{V^{3,2}}=1$ and construct an orthonormal basis for E^1_P

$$x_1=v,\quad x_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v,\quad x_3=J_{z_1}J_{z_4}v,\quad x_4=J_{z_2}J_{z_4}v$$

with $\langle\, x_i,x_i\,\rangle_{V^{3,2}}=-\langle\, x_{i+2},x_{i+2}\,\rangle_{V^{3,2}}=1$, $i=1,2$. Table 7 also shows that

$$Tx_1=x_1,\quad Tx_2=x_2,\quad Tx_3=-x_3,\quad Tx_4=-x_4.$$

Assuming now that there is an isomorphism $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{3,2}\to\mathcal{N}_{2,3}$, $\Phi=A\oplus C$ such that $A\colon V^{3,2}\to V^{2,3}$ and $C(z_j)=w_j$, we obtain a contradiction by Lemma 6 with $V=E^1_P$, $S=A_1^\tau A_1$, and $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$.

CASE $(r,s)=(3,7)$. We define the mutually commuting involutions

$$P_1=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_5}J_{z_6},\quad P_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_7}J_{z_8},\quad P_3=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_9}J_{z_{10}},\quad T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3},$$

and the complementary anti-isometric operators

$$R_1=J_{z_5},\quad R_2=J_{z_7},\quad R_3=J_{z_9},\quad R_4=J_{z_4},$$

acting on $V^{3,7}$.

TABLE 8. Commutation relations of operators on $V^{3,7}$

	J_{z_1}	J_{z_2}	J_{z_3}	J_{z_4}	J_{z_5}	J_{z_6}	J_{z_7}	J_{z_8}	J_{z_9}	$J_{z_{10}}$	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4	Q
P_1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	1	1	1	-1
P_2	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1		-1	1	1	-1
P_3	-1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1	-1			-1	1	-1
T	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1				-1	-1

Since the dimension of the minimal admissible module $V^{3,7}$, which is also irreducible, is 64, the common eigenspace $E^1=\cap_{j=1}^3 E^1_{P_j}$ is 8-dimensional and neutral. We choose the following basis for E^1, starting from $v\in E^1$, $\langle\, v,v\,\rangle_{V^{3,7}}=1$ and making use the anti-isometric operator $Q=J_{z_5}J_{z_7}J_{z_9}$,

$$\begin{array}{llll} x_1=v, & x_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v, & x_3=J_{z_4}J_{z_1}Qv, & x_4=J_{z_4}J_{z_2}Qv,\\ x_5=J_{z_4}v, & x_6=J_{z_4}J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v, & x_7=J_{z_1}Qv, & x_8=J_{z_2}Qv. \end{array}$$

The basis is orthonormal by Lemma 1, satisfies $\langle\, x_j,x_j\,\rangle_{V^{3,7}}=-\langle\, x_{4+j},x_{4+j}\,\rangle_{V^{3,7}}=1$ and

$$T(x_i)=x_i,\quad i=1,2,3,4\quad\text{and}\quad T(x_i)=-x_i,\quad i=5,6,7,8,$$

due to the choice of the operators R_4 and Q. Thus we can apply Lemma 6 to the neutral space $V = E^1$ with operators $S = A_1^\tau A_1$ and T. It finishes the proof.

Case $(r,s) = (3,11)$. The minimal admissible modules $V^{11,3}$ and $V^{3,11}$ are isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{64,64}$. We choose a set of mutually commuting isometric involutions:

$$P_j = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_{3+2j}} J_{z_{4+2J}},\ j = 1,\dots,5, \quad T = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3},$$

acting on $V^{3,11}$. The complementary operators are $R_k = J_{z_{3+2k}}$, $k = 1,\dots,5$, and $R_6 = J_{z_4}$. The space $E^1 = \bigcap\limits_{j=1}^{5} E^1_{P_j}$ is 4-dimensional neutral space. We choose the orthonormal basis

Table 9. Commutation relations of operators on $V^{3,11}$

	R_1	R_2	R_3	R_4	R_5	R_6
P_1	-1	1	1	1	1	1
P_2		-1	1	1	1	1
P_3			-1	1	1	1
P_4				-1	1	1
P_5					-1	1
T						-1

$\{x_1 = v,\ x_2 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} v,\ x_3 = J_{z_4} v,\ x_4 = J_{z_4} J_{z_1} J_{z_2} v\}$ for E^1 with $v \in E^1$, $\langle\, v, v \,\rangle_{V^{3,11}} = 1$. It is easy to see that

$$T(x_j) = x_j, \quad T(x_{2+j}) = -x_{2+j}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Thus, if we assume that there is an isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C \colon \mathcal{N}_{3,11} \to \mathcal{N}_{11,3}$, then the operator $S = A_1^\tau A_1$ will act on E^1. Applying Lemma 6 to the neutral space E^1, operators S and T, we obtain a contradiction. This finishes the proof. □

Corollary 6. *There are no automorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C$ of $\mathcal{N}_{3,3}$ with the condition $C^\tau C = -Id$.*

Proof. If we assume that such an automorphism Ψ exists, then it must induce an isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{3,2}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2,3}$, which contradicts to Theorem 9. The constructive proof can be performed as follows. Let us assume the existence of an automorphism $\Psi = A \oplus C$ with $C^\tau C = -\,\mathrm{Id}$. We fix mutually commuting isometric involutions and the complementary operators

$$P_1 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_4} J_{z_5},\ P_2 = J_{z_1} J_{z_3} J_{z_5} J_{z_6},\ T = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3},\ \ R_1 = J_{z_4},\ R_2 = J_{z_6},\ R_3 = J_{z_3} J_{z_6},$$

acting on $V^{3,3}$. Denote by $\{w_6,\dots,w_1\}$ another orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3,3}$, where w_6, w_5, w_4 are positive vectors and w_3, w_2, w_1 are negative. Put $C(z_i) = w_i$. The common eigenspace $E^1 = \cap_{j=1}^2 E^1_{P_j}$ is spanned by $\{x_1 = v,\ x_2 = J_{z_1} J_{z_5} v\}$, where $v = P_1(v) = P_2(v) = T(v)$ and $\langle\, v, v \,\rangle_{V^{3,3}} = 1$. Observe that $T(x_2) = -x_2$. Thus we obtain a contradiction as in Lemma 6 by setting $S = A^\tau A$ for the neutral space E^1. □

We can not apply directly the arguments of Theorem 7 to non-isomorphic pairs. Nevertheless, by a direct construction we obtain that the non-isomorphic properties are also respect the same periodicity.

Theorem 10. *If $(r,s) \in \{(3,1),\ (3,2),\ (3,7),\ (3,11)\}$, then*

1. *the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r+4k,s+4k}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{s+4k,r+4k}$ for any $k = 0,1,2,\dots$,*
2. *the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8k}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{s+8k,r}$ for any $k = 0,1,2,\dots$,*
3. *the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r+8k,s}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{s,r+8k}$ for any $k = 0,1,2,\dots$.*

Proof. Observe that if the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ has a system of p mutually commuting isometric involutions, then the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r+4k,s+4k}$ has $p+4k$ mutually commuting isometric involutions. The dimensions of minimal admissible modules are related by $\dim(V^{r+4k,s+4k}) = 16\dim(V^{r,s})$. Therefore, the dimension of the common eigenspace E^1, corresponding to eigenvalues 1 of all the involutions, does not change and equal for $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r+4k,s+4k}$ for any k. The same argument valid for the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s+8k,r}$. During the proof we show that for each value of (r,s) in the statement of the theorem, we can apply Lemma 6 and deduce that $\mathcal{N}_{r+4k,s+4k} \ncong \mathcal{N}_{s+4k,r+4k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8k} \ncong \mathcal{N}_{s+8k,r}$ for any k.

We slightly change the notations. Denote by $\{z_1,\dots,z_r,\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_s\}$ the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ with $\langle z_k, z_k\rangle_{r,s} = 1$, $k=1,\dots,r$, and $\langle \zeta_j,\zeta_j\rangle_{r,s} = -1$, $j=1,\dots,s$.

Case $(r,s)=(3,1)$. The minimal admissible module $V^{3,1}$ has the isometric involution $T = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$. The minimal admissible module $V^{3+4k,1+4k}$ has the following mutually commuting isometric involutions

$$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5},\ P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_6}J_{z_7},\dots,\ P_{2k} = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_{2+4k}}J_{z_{3+4k}},$$

$$P_{2k+1} = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_2}J_{\zeta_3},\quad \dots,\quad P_{4k} = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{4k}}J_{\zeta_{1+4k}},\quad T = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}.$$

The complementary operators are

$$R_l = J_{z_{2l+3}}J_{\zeta_1},\ l=1,\dots,2k,\quad R_l = J_{\zeta_{2(l-2k)+1}},\ l=2k+1,\dots,4k,\quad R_{4k+1} = J_{\zeta_1}.$$

We choose the basis of $E^1 = \bigcap_j^{4k} E^1_{P_j}$, starting from $v\in E^1$, $\langle v,v\rangle_{V^{3+4k,1+4k}} = 1$. We also need an isometric operator $Q = \prod_{j=1}^{4k} R_j$. Thus we have

$$QP_j = -P_jQ,\quad QT = TQ,\quad J_{\zeta_1}P_j = P_jJ_{\zeta_1},\quad J_{\zeta_1}T = -TJ_{\zeta_1},\quad Q^2 = \mathrm{Id}\,. \tag{35}$$

If it is necessary, we can apply Lemma 1 and find the following orthonormal basis

$$\begin{array}{llll} x_1 = v, & x_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v, & x_3 = J_{z_1}Qv, & x_4 = J_{z_2}Qv,\\ x_5 = J_{\zeta_1}v, & x_6 = J_{\zeta_1}J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v, & x_7 = J_{\zeta_1}J_{z_1}Qv, & x_8 = J_{\zeta_1}J_{z_2}Qv, \end{array} \tag{36}$$

with $\langle x_k,x_k\rangle_{V^{3+4k,1+4k}} = -\langle x_{k+4},x_{k+4}\rangle_{V^{3+4k,1+4k}} = 1$, $k=1,\dots,4$ and

$$T(x_j) = x_j,\quad \text{and}\quad T(x_{4+j}) = -x_{4+j},\ j=5,6,7,8.$$

due to the choice of the corresponding operators. Thus assuming that there is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi = A\oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{3+4k,1+4k}\to\mathcal{N}_{1+4k,3+4k}$, we define the map $S = A^\tau A\colon V^{3+4k,1+4k}\to V^{1+4k,3+4k}$ and obtain a contradiction by Lemma 6.

The minimal admissible module $V^{3,1+8k}$ has the following mutually commuting isometric involutions

$$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_2}J_{\zeta_3},\quad P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_4}J_{\zeta_5},\quad \dots,\quad P_{4k} = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{8k}}J_{\zeta_{1+8k}},\quad T = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}.$$

The complementary operators are $R_l = J_{\zeta_{2l+3}}$, $l=1,\dots,4k$, and $R_{4k+1} = J_{\zeta_1}$. We also need the isometric operator $Q = \prod_{j=1}^{4k} R_j$. Choose the basis (36) and finish the proof by applying Lemma 6.

For the minimal admissible module $V^{3+8k,1}$ we choose the mutually commuting isometric involutions

$$P_1 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5},\quad P_2 = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_6}J_{z_7},\quad \dots,\quad P_{4k} = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_{2+8k}}J_{z_{3+8k}},\quad T = J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}.$$

The complementary operators are $R_l = J_{z_{2l+3}}J_{\zeta_1}$, $l=1,\dots,4k$, and $R_{4k+1} = J_{\zeta_1}$ and $Q = \prod_{j=1}^{4k} R_j$. We choose the basis (36) and finish the proof by applying Lemma 6.

Case $(r,s)=(3,7)$. This case is similar to the previous. Recall that for $V^{3,7}$ the mutually commuting involutions are

$$P_j=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{2j}}J_{\zeta_{1+2j}},\ j=1,2,3,\quad T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}.$$

The complementary anti-isometric operators are

$$R_l=J_{\zeta_{1+2l}},\ l=1,2,3,\quad R_4=J_{\zeta_1},\quad Q=\prod_{l=1}^{3}J_{\zeta_{1+2l}}.$$

For the minimal admissible module $V^{3+4k,7+4k}$ we choose the following involutions

$$P_m=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_{2+2j}}J_{z_{3+2j}},\ m=1,\dots,2k,\quad P_j=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{2j}}J_{\zeta_{1+2j}},\ j=1,\dots,3+2k,$$

and $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$. The complementary operators are

$$R_p=J_{z_{3+2p}}J_{\zeta_1},\ p=1,\dots 2k,\quad R_l=J_{\zeta_{1+2l}},\ l=1,\dots,3+2k,\quad R_{4+4k}=J_{\zeta_1},$$

and the isometry $Q=\prod_{l=1}^{4k+4}R_j$. Since all the chosen operators satisfy (35), then we can take the basis (36) and finish the proof, applying Lemma 6.

For the minimal admissible module $V^{3,7+8k}$ we write

$$P_j=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{2j}}J_{\zeta_{1+2j}},\ j=1,\dots,3+4k,\quad T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3},$$

$$R_l=J_{\zeta_{1+2l}},\ l=1,\dots,3+4k,\quad R_{4+4k}=J_{\zeta_1},\quad Q=\prod_{l=1}^{4k+4}R_j.$$

For the minimal admissible module $V^{3+8k,7}$ we define

$$P_m=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_{2+2j}}J_{z_{3+2j}},\ m=1,\dots,4k,\quad P_j=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{2j}}J_{\zeta_{1+2j}},\ j=1,2,3,$$

$$T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3},\quad Q=\prod_{l=1}^{4k+4}R_j,\quad \text{where}$$

$$R_l=J_{z_{3+2l}}J_{\zeta_1},\ l=1,\dots 4k,\quad R_{l+4k}=J_{\zeta_{1+2l}},\ l=1,2,3,\quad R_{4+4k}=J_{\zeta_1}.$$

Case $(r,s)=(3,11)$. We recall that the set of mutually commuting isometric involutions acting on $V^{3,11}$ is:

$$P_j=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{2j}}J_{\zeta_{1+2j}},\ j=1,\dots,5,\quad T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}.$$

The complementary operators are $R_j=J_{\zeta_{1+2j}}$, $j=1,\dots,5$, and $R_6=J_{\zeta_1}$. For the minimal admissible module $V^{3+4k,11+4k}$ we make the following modifications

$$P_m=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_{2+2j}}J_{z_{3+2j}},\ m=1,\dots,2k,\quad P_j=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{2j}}J_{\zeta_{1+2j}},\ j=1,\dots,5+2k,$$

and $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$. The complementary operators are

$$R_p=J_{z_{3+2p}}J_{\zeta_1},\ p=1,\dots 2k,\quad R_l=J_{\zeta_{1+2l}},\ l=1,\dots,5+2k,\quad R_{4+4k}=J_{\zeta_1},$$

The space $E^1=\bigcap_{j=1}^{5+4k}E^1_{P_j}$ is 4-dimensional neutral space. We choose the orthonormal basis:

$$x_1=v,\quad x_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v,\quad x_3=J_{\zeta_1}v,\quad x_4=J_{\zeta_1}J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v$$

with $v\in E^1$, $\langle v,v\rangle_{V^{3+4k,11+4k}}=1$. Since $T_1(x_j)=x_j$, $T_1(x_{2+j})=-x_{2+j}$, $j=1,2$, we can finish the proof by applying Lemma 6.

It is clear what changing have to be done for the rest of the proof.

Case $(r,s)=(3,2)$. The minimal admissible module $V^{3,2}$ allows two mutually commuting isometric involutions $P=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_2}$ and $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$. For the minimal admissible module $V^{3+4k,2+4k}$ we choose the following involutions

$$P_1=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5},\quad P_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_6}J_{z_7},\quad \dots,\quad P_{2k}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_{2+4k}}J_{z_{3+4k}},$$

$$P_{2k+1}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_2},\quad P_{2k+2}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_3}J_{\zeta_4},\quad \dots\quad P_{4k+1}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{1+4k}}J_{\zeta_{2+4k}},$$

and $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$. The complementary anti-isometric operators are

$$R_j=J_{z_{3+2j}}J_{\zeta_1},\ j=1,\dots,2k,\quad R_{2k+m}=J_{\zeta_{2m-1}},\ m=1,\dots 2k+1,$$

and $R_{4k+2}=J_{z_1}\prod_{j=1}^{4k+1}R_j$. It is easy to see that R_{4k+2} commutes with all P_j and anti-commute with T. The space $E^1=\bigcap_{j=1}^{4k+1}E^1_{P_j}$ is neutral 4-dimensional. We construct an orthonormal basis, starting from $v\in E^1$, $\langle v,v\rangle_{V^{3+4k,2+4k}}=1$:

$$x_1=v,\quad x_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}v,\quad x_3=R_{4k+2}(x_1),\quad x_4=R_{4k+2}(x_2)$$

with $\langle x_i,x_i\rangle_{3+4k,2+4k}=-\langle x_{i+2},x_{i+2}\rangle_{3+4k,2+4k}=1$, $i=1,2$. We see that

$$Tx_1=x_1,\quad Tx_2=x_2,\quad Tx_3=-x_3,\quad Tx_4=-x_4.$$

We can apply now Lemma 6.

For the minimal admissible module $V^{3,2+8k}$ we choose the involutions

$$P_1=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_2},\quad P_2=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_3}J_{\zeta_4},\quad \dots\quad P_{4k+1}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_{1+8k}}J_{\zeta_{2+8k}},$$

and $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$. The complementary anti-isometric operators are

$$R_j=J_{\zeta_{2j-1}},\ j=1,\dots 2k+1,\quad R_{4k+2}=J_{z_1}\prod_{j=1}^{4k+1}R_j.$$

Then we finish the proof as in the previous case.

For the minimal admissible module $V^{3+8k,2}$ we choose the involutions

$$P_1=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_4}J_{z_5},\quad \dots,\quad P_{4k}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_{2+8k}}J_{z_{3+8k}},\quad P_{4k+1}=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{\zeta_1}J_{\zeta_2},$$

and $T=J_{z_1}J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$. The complementary anti-isometric operators are

$$R_j=J_{z_{3+2j}}J_{\zeta_1},\ j=1,\dots,4k,\quad R_{4k+1}=J_{\zeta_1},\quad \text{and}\quad R_{4k+2}=J_{z_1}\prod_{j=1}^{4k+1}R_j.$$

It is easy to see that R_{4k+2} commutes with all P_j and anti-commute with T and we can finish the proof by applying Lemma 6. □

Corollary 7. *There are no automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{N}_{3+4k,3+4k}$, $k=0,1,\dots$, of the form $\Psi=A\oplus C$ with $C^\tau C=-Id$.*

Proof. If such an automorphism would exist, then it could induce an isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{3+4k,2+4k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{2+4k,3+4k}$, which is a contradiction. The proof can be also obtained by method of Theorem 10 as in Corollary 6. □

4. Step 2: trivially non-isomorphic Lie algebras

In this section we study the isomorphism between the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, where one of the Lie algebras is constructed from minimal admissible Clifford module and another one is constructed by using the direct sum of two minimal admissible Clifford modules. We formulate one theorem, where we state all the cases that could be used for further applications of periodicity (2). We continue to use the notation $V^{r,s}$ for minimal admissible modules and we write $U^{s,r}$ to denote a non-minimal admissible module. In the case when there are two minimal admissible modules, we write $V_+^{r,s} \cong V_+$ and $V_-^{r,s} \cong V_-$. We use the notation $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}^2$ for the Lie algebra constructed by using the direct sum of two minimal admissible modules. Below in Theorem 11 we write $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V^{r,s} \oplus V^{r,s})$ for the case of $r - s \neq 3(mod\ 4)$. In the cases $r - s = 3(mod\ 4)$ the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ has two minimal admissible module and in this case we write $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s} \oplus V_-^{r,s})$.

Theorem 11. *The following pairs of the Lie algebras are isomorphic*

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{N}_{3,0}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{0,3}, & \mathcal{N}_{5,0}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{0,5}, & \mathcal{N}_{6,0}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{0,6}, & \mathcal{N}_{7,0}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{0,7}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{2,1} \cong \mathcal{N}_{1,2}^2, & \mathcal{N}_{4,1}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{1,4}, & \mathcal{N}_{7,1}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{1,7}, & \\ \mathcal{N}_{4,2} \cong \mathcal{N}_{2,4}^2, & \mathcal{N}_{7,2}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{2,7}, & & \\ \mathcal{N}_{4,3} \cong \mathcal{N}_{3,4}^2, & \mathcal{N}_{5,3} \cong \mathcal{N}_{3,5}^2, & \mathcal{N}_{6,3} \cong \mathcal{N}_{3,6}^2. & \end{array}$$

Proof. The scheme of the proof is the following: for each pair of Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}^2$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ we find another pair $\mathcal{N}_{l,m}$, $\mathcal{N}_{m,l}$ of isomorphic algebras such that $V^{l,m}$ is isometric to $V^{s,r}$ and $U^{r,s}$. Then representations of the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{l,m}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{m,l}$ will induce actions on $V^{s,r}$ and $U^{r,s}$ that allow to induce the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi}\colon \mathcal{N}_{s,r} \to \mathcal{N}_{r,s}^2$ from the existing isomorphism between $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{l,m} \to \mathcal{N}_{m,l}$, as it is shown on the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \{0\} & \longrightarrow & K_- & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{l,m} & \xrightarrow{I\oplus\pi_-} & \mathcal{N}_{s,r} & \longrightarrow & \{0\} \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle C} & & {\scriptstyle \Phi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\Phi}} & & \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & K_+ & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{m,l} & \xrightarrow{I\oplus\pi_+} & \mathcal{N}_{r,s}^2 & \longrightarrow & \{0\}. \end{array} \tag{37}$$

We consider case by case finding suitable isomorphic pairs of Lie algebras that will induce the isomorphism for the pairs listed in the statement of the theorem.

Case $\mathcal{N}_{3,0}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{0,3}$. Let $V^{4,0}$ be the minimal admissible module of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{4,0}$, then the natural inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{3,0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4,0}$ defines an admissible module $U^{3,0}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}_{3,0}$. Then it must be $U^{3,0} = V_+^{3,0} \oplus V_-^{3,0}$, since the operator J_{z_4} anti-commutes with the volume form $\Omega^{3,0} = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3}$. Thus $U^{3,0}$ includes both eigenspaces of $\Omega^{3,0}$ and $U^{3,0}$ is isometric to $V^{4,0}$.

The orthogonal projection $\pi_+\colon \mathbb{R}^{4,0} \to \mathbb{R}^{3,0}$ with kernel $K_+ = \mathrm{span}\{z_1\}$ and the isometry map $I\colon V^{4,0} \to U^{3,0}$ define a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho = I \oplus \pi_+\colon \mathcal{N}_{4,0} \to \mathcal{N}_{3,0}^2$. Analogously, the orthogonal projection $\pi_-\colon \mathbb{R}^{0,4} \to \mathbb{R}^{0,3}$ with the kernel $K_- = \mathrm{span}\{\zeta_1\}$ and the isometry map $I\colon V^{0,4} \to V^{0,3}$ induce a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho = I \oplus \pi_-\colon \mathcal{N}_{0,4} \to \mathcal{N}_{0,3}$. Then the isomorphism $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{0,4} \to \mathcal{N}_{4,0}$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{\Phi}\colon \mathcal{N}_{0,3} \to \mathcal{N}_{3,0}^2$ by (37), since $\Phi(\zeta_1) = C(\zeta_1) = z_1$.

Cases $\mathcal{N}_{5,0}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{0,5}$, $\mathcal{N}_{6,0}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{0,6}$, $\mathcal{N}_{7,0}^2 \cong \mathcal{N}_{0,7}$. From now on we will only indicate the structure of $U^{r,s}$ and the isomorphic Lie algebras that induce the necessary isomorphism.

We have $U^{5,0} = V^{5,0} \oplus V^{5,0}$, $U^{6,0} = V^{6,0} \oplus V^{6,0}$, and $U^{7,0} = V_+^{7,0} \oplus V_-^{7,0}$. The isomorphisms $\overline{\Phi}$ are induced from $\Phi\colon \mathcal{N}_{8,0} \to \mathcal{N}_{0,8}$.

Cases $\mathcal{N}_{2,1} \cong \mathcal{N}^2_{1,2}$, $\mathcal{N}_{4,1} \cong \mathcal{N}^2_{1,4}$, $\mathcal{N}^2_{7,1} \cong \mathcal{N}_{1,7}$. Let $\Psi = A \oplus C \colon \mathcal{N}_{2,2} \to \mathcal{N}_{2,2}$, be a Lie algebra automorphism, such that

$$C(z_1) = z_4, \quad C(z_2) = z_3, \quad C(z_3) = z_2, \quad C(z_4) = z_1, \quad \text{and} \quad CC^\tau = -\operatorname{Id}.$$

We have $U^{1,2} = V^{1,2} \oplus V^{1,2}$ and the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi} \colon \mathcal{N}_{2,1} \to \mathcal{N}^2_{1,2}$ is induced by the automorphism Ψ.

We have $U^{1,4} = V^{1,4} \oplus V^{1,4}$ and the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi} \colon \mathcal{N}_{4,1} \to \mathcal{N}^2_{1,4}$ is induced from $\Phi \colon \mathcal{N}_{5,1} \to \mathcal{N}_{1,5}$. Analogously, $U^{7,1} = V^{7,1} \oplus V^{7,1}$ and the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi} \colon \mathcal{N}^2_{7,1} \to \mathcal{N}_{1,7}$ is induced from $\Phi \colon \mathcal{N}_{8,1} \to \mathcal{N}_{1,8}$.

Cases $\mathcal{N}_{4,2} \cong \mathcal{N}^2_{2,4}$, $\mathcal{N}^2_{7,2} \cong \mathcal{N}_{2,7}$. We have $U^{2,4} = V^{2,4} \oplus V^{2,4}$ and the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi} \colon \mathcal{N}_{4,2} \to \mathcal{N}^2_{2,4}$ is induced from $\Phi \colon \mathcal{N}_{5,2} \to \mathcal{N}_{2,5}$. One has $U^{7,2} = V^{7,2} \oplus V^{7,2}$ and the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi} \colon \mathcal{N}^2_{7,2} \to \mathcal{N}_{2,7}$ is induced from $\Phi \colon \mathcal{N}_{8,2} \to \mathcal{N}_{2,8}$.

Cases $\mathcal{N}_{4,3} \cong \mathcal{N}^2_{3,4}$, $\mathcal{N}_{5,3} \cong \mathcal{N}^2_{3,5}$, $\mathcal{N}_{6,3} \cong \mathcal{N}^2_{3,6}$. We have $U^{3,4} = V^{3,4}_+ \oplus V^{3,4}_-$, and the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi} \colon \mathcal{N}_{4,3} \to \mathcal{N}^2_{3,4}$ is induced from the automorphism Ψ of $\mathcal{N}_{4,4}$.

One has the following modules $U^{3,k} = V^{3,k} \oplus V^{3,k}$ and the isomorphism $\overline{\Phi} \colon \mathcal{N}_{k,3} \to \mathcal{N}^2_{3,k}$ is induced from $\Phi \colon \mathcal{N}_{k+1,4} \to \mathcal{N}_{4,k+1}$ for $k = 5, 6$. □

The last theorem is an application of the construction made in Theorem 7 to show the isomorphism of Lie algebras of high dimention.

Theorem 12. *If the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}^2_{r,s}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, then*

1. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}^2_{r,s+8k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s+8k,r}$ are isomorphic;*
2. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}^2_{r+8k,s}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s,r+8k}$ are isomorphic;*
3. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}^2_{r+4k,s+4k}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{s+4k,r+4k}$ are isomorphic.*

for any $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

5. Step 3: uniqueness of minimal dimensional Lie algebras

Recall that we are classifying the resulting Lie algebras, constructed as H-type Lie algebras by making use of (probably) different admissible scalar products on the same representation space. In the present section we discuss the uniqueness of Lie algebras first with the same representation space but different scalar products and then the Lie algebras constructed from non-equivalent representation spaces.

Proposition 2. *Let $(V, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_V)$ be an admissible module (not necessarily minimal) and denote by $V_1 = (V, -\langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_V)$ an admissible module with the scalar product of the opposite sign, see Remark 1. Then the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_1)$ are isomorphic under the map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V) = V \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_1) = V \oplus \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \\ (x, z) & \mapsto & (x, -z). \end{array}$$

Proposition 3. *Let V be a representation space of a Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$. We denote by $V_1 = (V, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle^{(1)}_V)$ and $V_2 = (V, \langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle^{(2)}_V)$ two* **minimal** *admissible modules with different scalar products. Then the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_1)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_2)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Any minimal admissible module is cyclic in the following sense. There is a vector, generating an orthonormal basis of the module by successive actions of the maps J_{z_j} on this generating vector, see Theorem 1, item 3. We can find the generating vector in the space $E^1 = \cap E^1_{P_i}$, where $\{P_i\}$ is a set of the maximal number of mutually commuting isometric involutions. We choose two vectors $u, v \in E^1$ such that $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle^{(1)}_V = 1$, and $\langle\, u, u\,\rangle^{(2)}_V = 1$,

where, if it is necessary, we can change the sign of the scalar products to be opposite according to Remark 1 and Proposition 2. Then these vectors generate the Clifford module, in the sense that V is the span of all $\{J_{z_{i_1}}J_{z_{i_2}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}v\}$ and it is also the span of all $\{J_{z_{i_1}}J_{z_{i_2}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}u\}$. Moreover

$$\langle J_{z_{i_1}}J_{z_{i_2}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}v, J_{z_{j_1}}J_{z_{j_2}}\cdots J_{z_{j_{k'}}}v\rangle_V^{(1)} = \langle J_{z_{i_1}}J_{z_{i_2}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}u, J_{z_{j_1}}J_{z_{j_2}}\cdots J_{z_{j_{k'}}}u\rangle_V^{(2)},$$

for any choice of the basis vectors. Let us denote by $[.,.]^{(k)}$, $k=1,2$ the brackets defined by scalar products $\langle .,.\rangle_V^{(k)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle z_\ell, [J_{z_{i_1}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}v, J_{z_{j_1}}\cdots J_{z_{j_{k'}}}v]^{(1)}\rangle_{r,s} = \langle J_{z_\ell}J_{z_{i_1}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}v, J_{z_{j_1}}\cdots J_{z_{j_{k'}}}v\rangle_V^{(1)}\\ =\ &\langle J_{z_\ell}J_{z_{i_1}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}u, J_{z_{j_1}}\cdots J_{z_{j_{k'}}}u\rangle_V^{(2)} = \langle z_\ell, [J_{z_{i_1}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}u, J_{z_{j_1}}\cdots J_{z_{j_{k'}}}u]^{(2)}\rangle_{r,s}\end{aligned}$$

for any $l=1,\dots,r+s$. The map $(J_{z_{i_1}}J_{z_{i_2}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}v, z)\mapsto(J_{z_{i_1}}J_{z_{i_2}}\cdots J_{z_{i_k}}u, z)$ is well defined and gives an isomorphism between the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_1)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_2)$. □

As it was mentioned in Section 2.2, some of the Clifford algebras $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ have two minimal admissible modules, that correspond to two non-equivalent irreducible modules supplied with a neutral or a positive definite scalar product, making the representation maps skew-symmetric. We denote these modules by $V_+^{r,s}$ and $V_-^{r,s}$ and the corresponding Lie algebras by $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$.

Theorem 13. *If there are two minimal admissible* $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$*-modules* $V_+^{r,s}$ *and* $V_-^{r,s}$*, then the Lie algebras* $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$ *are isomorphic.*

The proof of Theorem 13 is contained in four lemmas. Lemma 7 is a reformulation of Lemma 3, Lemma 8 states general properties of Lie algebra isomorphism, Lemma 9 shows the isomorphism for lower dimensional cases and the last Lemma 10 is an application of the periodicity (2).

Lemma 7. *Let* $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{r+s}$ *be an orthonormal basis of* $\mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ *and* $\Phi = A\oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})\to\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$ *a Lie algebra isomorphism. Then the following relations hold*

$$A\prod_{j=1}^{p}J_{z_j} = \begin{cases}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}\,A, & \text{if}\quad p=2m,\\ \prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}(A^\tau)^{-1}, & \text{if}\quad p=2m+1,\end{cases}$$

$$A^\tau\prod_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_j} = \begin{cases}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{C^\tau(w_j)}A^\tau & \text{if}\quad p=2m,\\ \prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}J_{C^\tau(w_j)}A^{-1} & \text{if}\quad p=2m+1.\end{cases}$$

for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Recall, that a Lie algebra isomorphism $\Phi = A\oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})\to\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$ satisfies $CC^\tau = \mathrm{Id}$ by Corollary 4. Then the proof follows literally the proof of Lemma 3, where one has to change the condition $CC^\tau = -\mathrm{Id}$ to $CC^\tau = \mathrm{Id}$. □

Lemma 8. *Let* $r-s=3(mod\ 4)$ *and the Clifford algebra* $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ *admits two minimal admissible modules* $V_\pm^{r,s}$*. Assume that* $\Phi = A\oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})\to\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$ *is a Lie algebra isomorphism. Then* $AA^\tau = -\det C\ \mathrm{Id}$ *and* $\det C = -1$ *if* $s=0$.

Proof. Let $z_1,\dots z_{r+s}$ be orthonormal generators of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ and $V_\pm^{r,s}$ two non-equivalent minimal admissible modules of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{r,s}$ with the module actions, which

are denoted by J and $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}$, respectively. Both of admissible modules are irreducible and are distinguished by the actions of the volume forms $\Omega^{r,s} = \prod_{j=1}^{r+s} J_{z_j}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}^{r,s} = \prod_{j=1}^{r+s} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j}$, that is

$$\Omega^{r,s} \equiv \mathrm{Id} \text{ on } V_+^{r,s}, \text{ and } \tilde{\Omega}^{r,s} \equiv -\mathrm{Id} \text{ on } V_-^{r,s}.$$

Let us assume that there is an isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C \colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s}) \to \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$, where $A \colon V_+^{r,s} \to V_-^{r,s}$ and $C \colon \mathbb{R}^{r,s} \to \mathbb{R}^{r,s}$ with $CC^\tau = \mathrm{Id}$ by Corollary 4. We set $C(z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} c_{ji} z_i = w_j$, $j = 1, \dots, r+s$. Then we have to satisfy the following

$$AA^\tau = A\Omega^{r,s}A^\tau = \prod_{j=1}^{r+s} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)} = \det C \prod_{j=1}^{r+s} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{z_j} = \det C\, \widetilde{\Omega}^{r,s} = -\det C\ \mathrm{Id} \tag{38}$$

by Lemma 7 and the definition of the map C.

In the case $s = 0$ the scalar product on $V_\pm^{r,0}$ is positive definite and the matrix AA^τ is positive. Therefore,

$$AA^\tau = -\det C\ \mathrm{Id} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \det C = -1, \quad \Longrightarrow \quad AA^\tau = \mathrm{Id}\,.$$

□

We present general ideas for the construction of a possible map $A \colon V_+^{r,s} \to V_-^{r,s}$ in this case. Observe that

$$J_{z_i} x = \begin{cases} (-1)^i \prod\limits_{j \neq i} J_{z_j} x, & \text{if} \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \\ (-1)^{i-1} \prod\limits_{j \neq i} J_{z_j} x, & \text{if} \quad i = r+1, \dots, r+s, \end{cases} \quad \text{for any} \quad x \in V_+^{r,s}. \tag{39}$$

Since the map A has to commute with the product of any even number of representations, we obtain for $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$

$$AJ_{z_i}A^\tau = (-1)^i \prod_{j \neq i} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}\, AA^\tau = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_i)} \det C\, \widetilde{\Omega}^{r,s}(-\det C)\, \mathrm{Id} = (\det C)^2\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)},$$

and analogously for $i \in \{r+1, \dots, r+s\}$. Since $A^{-1} = -\det C A^\tau$, in order to satisfy Lemma 7 we must define the map $A \colon V_+^{r,s} \to V_-^{r,s}$ by

$$AJ_{z_i} = -\det C\ \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_i)}\, A, \quad AJ_{z_i}J_{z_j} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_i)}\, \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}\, A, \dots. \tag{40}$$

We also obtain that

$$\langle\, A(x), A(x)\,\rangle_{V_-^{r,s}} = \langle\, x, A^\tau A(x)\,\rangle_{V_+^{r,s}} = -\det C\, \langle\, x, x\,\rangle_{V_+^{r,s}}$$

for any $x \in V_+^{r,s}$. Thus we see that if $\det C = 1$, then the map A became anti-isometry and in the case $\det C = -1$ the map A is an isometry. In the following lemma we give the precise construction of the isomorphism for the basic cases.

Lemma 9. *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$ are isomorphic for the set of indices $(r,s) \in \{(3,0),\ (7,0),\ (1,2),\ (3,4),\ (5,2)\ (1,6)\}$.*

Proof. Case $(r,s) = (3,0)$. Making use of the notations of Lemma 8, we are interested in the construction of an isomorphism $\Phi = A \oplus C \colon \mathcal{N}_{3,0}(V_+^{3,0}) \to \mathcal{N}_{3,0}(V_-^{3,0})$, where $A \colon V_+^{3,0} \to V_-^{3,0}$ and $C \colon \mathbb{R}^{3,0} \to \mathbb{R}^{3,0}$ with $CC^\tau = \mathrm{Id}$, $\det C = -1$. The spaces $V_+^{3,0}$ and $V_-^{3,0}$ are spanned by orthonormal bases

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 = v, \quad x_2 = J_{z_1} v, \quad x_3 = J_{z_2} v, \quad x_4 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} v, \quad \text{and} \\ &y_1 = u, \quad y_2 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} u, \quad y_3 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} u, \quad y_4 = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} u, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

respectively, for some $\langle\, v, v\,\rangle_{V_+^{3,0}} = \langle\, u, u\,\rangle_{V_-^{3,0}} = 1$. In order to construct the map A we assume

$$A(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i y_i, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{4} {a_i}^2 = \langle\, A(v), A(v)\,\rangle_{V_-^{3,0}} = \langle\, v, A^\tau A(v)\,\rangle_{V_+^{3,0}} = 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2) &= AJ_{z_1} v = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} A(v) = -a_2 y_1 + a_1 y_2 - a_4 y_3 + a_3 y_4, \\ A(x_3) &= AJ_{z_2} v = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} A(v) = -a_3 y_1 + a_4 y_2 + a_1 y_3 - a_2 y_4, \\ A(x_4) &= AJ_{z_1} J_{z_2} = \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_1} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_2} A(v) = -a_4 y_1 - a_3 y_2 + a_2 y_3 + a_1 y_4. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Thus, for any orthogonal transformation $C \in O(3)$, with $\det C = -1$ we can find a map $A\colon V_+^{3,0} \to V_-^{3,0}$ such that $\Phi = A \oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{3,0}(V_+^{3,0}) \to \mathcal{N}_{3,0}(V_-^{3,0})$ is an isomorphism.

Case $(r, s) = (1, 2)$. Notice that in this case $V_+^{1,2} = V_+ \oplus V_+$ and $V_-^{1,2} = V_- \oplus V_-$, where $V_\pm$ are non-equivalent irreducible modules. We choose basis (41) and look for the map $A\colon V_+^{1,2} \to V_-^{1,2}$ satisfying

$$A(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i y_i, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{2} {a_i}^2 - \sum_{i=3}^{4} {a_i}^2 = \langle\, A(v), A(v)\,\rangle_{V_-^{1,2}} = \langle\, v, A^\tau A(v)\,\rangle_{V_+^{1,2}} = -\det C.$$

We denote $\det C = \epsilon$. Making calculations similar to (42), we find

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \epsilon a_2 & -\epsilon a_3 & -\epsilon a_4 \\ a_2 & -\epsilon a_1 & \epsilon a_4 & -\epsilon a_3 \\ a_3 & \epsilon a_4 & -\epsilon a_1 & -\epsilon a_2 \\ a_4 & -\epsilon a_3 & \epsilon a_2 & -\epsilon a_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^\tau = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -\epsilon a_2 & \epsilon a_3 & \epsilon a_4 \\ -a_2 & -\epsilon a_1 & -\epsilon a_4 & \epsilon a_3 \\ -a_3 & -\epsilon a_4 & -\epsilon a_1 & \epsilon a_2 \\ -a_4 & \epsilon a_3 & -\epsilon a_2 & -\epsilon a_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{43}$$

By direct calculations we obtain $A^\tau A = -\det C\ \mathrm{Id}$. We conclude that for any transformation $C \in O(1, 2)$ we can find a map $A\colon V_+^{1,2} \to V_-^{1,2}$ such that $\Phi = A \oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{1,2}(V_+^{1,2}) \to \mathcal{N}_{1,2}(V_-^{1,2})$ is an isomorphism.

Case $(r, s) = (7, 0)$. We choose the involutions

$$P_1 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3} J_{z_4}, \quad P_2 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_5} J_{z_6}, \quad P_3 = J_{z_1} J_{z_3} J_{z_5} J_{z_7},$$

acting on the module $V_+^{7,0}$. For the module $V_-^{7,0}$ we fix the involutions $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ changing the basis vectors z_j by $w_j = C(z_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, 7$. We take vectors $v \in V_+^{7,0}$ and $u \in V_-^{7,0}$ such that

$$P_j(v) = v, \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j(u) = u \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\, v, v\,\rangle_{V_+^{7,0}} = \langle\, u, u\,\rangle_{V_-^{7,0}} = 1.$$

The 8 common eigenspaces of P_j are one dimensional and are spanned by the vectors v and $J_{z_i} v$. Analogously, one dimensional eigenspaces of $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$ are spanned by u and $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_i} u$. We set

$$A(v) = \lambda u, \qquad AJ_{z_i} v = \lambda \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_i} u, \quad j = 1, \dots, 7, \quad \lambda = \pm 1.$$

Thus, for each $C \in O(7)$ with $\det C = -1$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$ there is a Lie algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{7,0}(V_+^{7,0})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{7,0}(V_-^{7,0})$.

Case $(r, s) = (3, 4)$. By using the notations as in the previous case, we choose the mutually commuting isometric involutions

$$P_1 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_4} J_{z_5}, \quad P_2 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_6} J_{z_7}, \quad P_3 = J_{z_1} J_{z_3} J_{z_5} J_{z_7},$$

acting on $V_+^{3,4}$ and a vector $v \in V_+^{3,4}$ such that $P_i(v) = v$, $i = 1,2,3$, $\langle v, v \rangle_{V_+^{3,4}} = 1$. The 8 one dimensional common eigenspaces of involutions P_j, $j = 1,2,3$ are spanned by the vectors v and $J_{z_i} v$ $(i = 1, \dots, 7)$, respectively. For the module $V_-^{3,4}$ we also choose the involutions $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_j$, $j = 1,2,3$ with the same combinations of the generators and take a positive unit vector $u \in V_-^{3,4}$ (if necessary, by changing the sign of the scalar product, see Remark 1) such that $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_i(u) = u$ for $i = 1,2,3$.

The one dimensional common eigenspaces of the involutions $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_i$ are spanned by u and $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{w_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, 7$. We may set $A(v) = \lambda u$. Then we have $A^\tau(u) = \lambda v$, according to the choice $\langle u, u \rangle_{V_-^{3,4}} = 1$. So that

$$-\det C \cdot A^\tau A(v) = -\det C \cdot \lambda^2 \cdot v = v \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \det C = -1,$$

since $\lambda^2 = 1$.

Thus, there is a Lie algebra isomorphism $A \oplus C$ between $\mathcal{N}_{3,4}(V_+^{3,4})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{3,4}(V_-^{3,4})$ with $C \in O(3,4)$ and we can always assume that $\det C = -1$.

Case $(r,s) \in \{(5,2),\ (1,6)\}$. First we present arguments of existence of an isomorphism and then we give the constructive proof. In Theorem 5 it was shown that $\mathcal{N}_{5,2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{N}_{2,5}$, where we implicitly assumed that $\Omega^{5,2}(v) = -v$, which was used in the condition $J_{z_5} v = -\mathbf{i}(v)$. Thus we actually showed the isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_{5,2}(V_-^{5,2}) \cong \mathcal{N}_{2,5}$. The assumption $\Omega^{5,2}(v) = v$ leads to $J_{z_5} v = \mathbf{i}(v)$, and thus $\mathcal{N}_{5,2}(V_+^{5,2}) \cong \mathcal{N}_{2,5}$. We conclude $\mathcal{N}_{5,2}(V_-^{5,2}) \cong \mathcal{N}_{5,2}(V_+^{5,2})$. The same arguments shows $\mathcal{N}_{1,6}(V_-^{1,6}) \cong \mathcal{N}_{1,6}(V_+^{1,6})$.

We propose the constructive proof now. Let $(r,s) = (5,2)$. The mutually commuting isometric involutions and the complementary operators acting on $V_+^{5,2}$

$$P_1 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_3} J_{z_4}, \quad P_2 = J_{z_1} J_{z_2} J_{z_6} J_{z_7}, \qquad R_1 = J_{z_4} J_{z_6}, \quad R_2 = J_{z_5} J_{z_6}$$

show that common eigenspaces are 4-dimensional and neutral. It is enough to construct the isomorphism by defining map $A_1 \colon E^1 \to \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$, since it can be extended to the map $A \colon V_+^{5,2} \to V_-^{5,2}$ in a similar way as in Theorem 3. By making use of the quaternion structure

$$\mathbf{i} = J_{z_1} J_{z_2}, \quad \mathbf{j} = J_{z_1} J_{z_3} J_{z_5} J_{z_7}, \quad \mathbf{k} = J_{z_2} J_{z_3} J_{z_5} J_{z_7}, \tag{44}$$

we fix the orthonormal basis for E^1 as follows

$$x_1 = v, \quad x_2 = \mathbf{i}(v), \quad x_3 = \mathbf{j}(v), \quad x_4 = \mathbf{k}(v),$$

where $v \in E_1 = \cap_{i=1}^2 E^1_{P_1}$ and $\langle v, v \rangle_{V_+^{5,2}} = 1$. The existence of such a vector v and the orthogonality of the basis is justified by Lemma 1. Analogously, we choose the involutions $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_i$, $i = 1,2$, and quaternion structure, acting on $V_-^{5,2}$ by changing J_{z_j} to $\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{C(z_j)}$. It allows to get the orthonormal basis

$$y_1 = u, \quad y_2 = \tilde{\mathbf{i}}(u), \quad y_3 = \tilde{\mathbf{j}}(u), \quad y_4 = \tilde{\mathbf{k}}(u),$$

for some $u \in \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}_1 = \cap_{i=1}^2 \widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1_{\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}_1}$ with $\langle u, u \rangle_{V_-^{5,2}} = 1$. We are looking for the map $A_1 \colon V_+^{5,2} \to V_-^{5,2}$ satisfying $A_1(v) = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i y_i$ and

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 - a_3^2 - a_4^2 = \langle A_1(v), A_1(v) \rangle_{V_-^{5,2}} = \langle v, A_1^\tau A_1(v) \rangle_{V_+^{5,2}} = -\det C.$$

We use the property $A_1\,\mathbf{i}=\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\,A_1$ (and the same for $\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}$) according to (40), and calculate the matrix for A_1 and $A_1^\tau A_1$:

$$A_1=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 & -a_3 & -a_4\\ a_2 & a_1 & a_4 & -a_3\\ a_3 & -a_4 & a_1 & a_2\\ a_4 & a_3 & -a_2 & a_1\end{pmatrix},\qquad A_1^\tau=\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & -a_3 & -a_4\\ -a_2 & a_1 & a_4 & -a_3\\ a_3 & -a_4 & a_1 & -a_2\\ a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & a_1\end{pmatrix} \tag{45}$$

and

$$A_1^\tau A_1=\begin{pmatrix} a_1^2+a_2^2-a_3^2-a_4^2 & 0 & -2a_1a_3+2a_2a_4 & -2a_2a_3-2a_1a_4\\ 0 & a_1^2+a_2^2-a_3^2-a_4^2 & 2a_2a_3+2a_1a_4 & -2a_1a_3+2a_2a_4\\ 2a_1a_3-2a_2a_4 & -2a_2a_3-2a_1a_4 & a_1^2+a_2^2-a_3^2-a_4^2 & 0\\ 2a_2a_3+2a_1a_4 & 2a_1a_3-2a_2a_4 & 0 & a_1^2+a_2^2-a_3^2-a_4^2\end{pmatrix}$$

In order to satisfy the condition $A_1^\tau A_1=-\det C\,\mathrm{Id}$ we need to solve the equations

$$-2a_1a_3+2a_2a_4=0,\quad -2a_2a_3-2a_1a_4=0.$$

Thus, if $\det C=-1$, then we have to choose $a_3=a_4=0$ and if $\det C=1$, then $a_1=a_2=0$. We conclude that for each $C\in O(5,2)$ there is a Lie algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{5,2}(V_+^{5,2})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{5,2}(V_-^{5,2})$.

Let now $(r,s)=(1,6)$. The arguments are essentially the same as in the previous case. The mutually commuting isometric involutions acting on $V_+^{1,6}$ are $P_1=J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_4}J_{z_5}$, $P_2=J_{z_2}J_{z_3}J_{z_6}J_{z_7}$. The quaternion structure is $\mathbf{i}=J_{z_2}J_{z_3}$, $\mathbf{j}=J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$, $\mathbf{k}=J_{z_1}J_{z_3}J_{z_5}J_{z_7}$. We fix orthonormal bases for E^1 and $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}^1$ as in the previous case and construct the map A_1 as in (45). We come to the same conclusion that for each $C\in O(1,6)$ there is a Lie algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{1,6}(V_+^{1,6})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1,6}(V_-^{1,6})$. □

Corollary 8. *If $\Phi=A\oplus C\colon \mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})\to\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism, then we always can assume that* $\det C=-1$.

Proof. The cases of the indices $(r,s)=\{(3,0),(7,0),(1,6)\}$ contains in the proof of Lemma 9. For the rest of the cases in Lemma 9 if $\det C=1$, then we compose the isomorphism $\Phi_1=A\oplus C$ with the isomorphism $\Phi_-=\mathrm{Id}\oplus-\mathrm{Id}$ that gives the isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_1)$ with $V_1=(V_-^{r,s},\langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_{V_-^{r,s}})$ and the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_2)$ with $V_2=(V_-^{r,s},-\langle\,.\,,.\,\rangle_{V_-^{r,s}})$ by Proposition 2. The composition map $\Phi=\Phi_1\circ\Phi_-=A\oplus(-C)$ will have the properties $\det C=-1$ since $r+s$ is odd and $AA^\tau=\mathrm{Id}$ due to the change of the sign of the scalar product. □

Lemma 10. *If the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$, then*

1. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8k}(V_+^{r,s+8k})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8k}(V_-^{r,s+8k})$ are isomorphic;*
2. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r+8k,s}(V_+^{r+8k,s})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r+8k,s}(V_-^{r+8k,s})$ are isomorphic;*
3. *the Lie algebras $\mathcal{N}_{r+4k,s+4k}(V_+^{r+4k,s+4k})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r+4k,s+4k}(V_-^{r+4k,s+4k})$ are isomorphic.*

for any $k=1,2,\dots$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 7 and we only show the first statement, since the others can be obtained analogously. If $\bar\Psi=\bar A\oplus\bar C\colon\mathcal{N}_{0,8}\to\mathcal{N}_{0,8}$, with $\bar C\bar C^\tau=\mathrm{Id}$ and $\Phi=A\oplus C\colon\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_+^{r,s})\to\mathcal{N}_{r,s}(V_-^{r,s})$ with $CC^\tau=\mathrm{Id}$, then the map $\hat\Phi=\hat A\oplus\hat C$ with $\hat C=C\oplus\bar C$ and $\hat A=A\hat\otimes\bar A$ given by (46) is a Lie algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8k}(V_+^{r,s+8k})$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,s+8k}(V_-^{r,s+8k})$.

First of all we observe that an automorphism $\bar\Psi=\bar A\oplus\bar C\colon\mathcal{N}_{0,8}\to\mathcal{N}_{0,8}$, with $\bar C\bar C^\tau=\mathrm{Id}$ always exists, where we can simply set $\bar C=\mathrm{Id}$ and $\bar A\colon V^{0,8}\to V^{0,8}$ can be any map $A\in\mathrm{GL}(8)$ satisfying $AJ_{z_j}A^\tau=J_{z_j}$, where $\{z_1,\dots,z_8\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{0,8}$.

We only need to define the map $\hat{A}\colon V_+^{r,s+8k} \to V_-^{r,s+8k}$. By making use the notations of Theorem 7, we set

$$\hat{A}\prod_{j=1}^{p}\hat{J}_{z_j}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{q}\hat{J}_{\zeta_\alpha} =$$

$$= \begin{cases} A\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j}\otimes\bar{A}(\Omega^{0,8})^p\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q} J_{\zeta_\alpha} = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}(A^\tau)^{-1}\otimes\Omega^{8,0}\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}(\bar{A}^\tau)^{-1}, \\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m+1,\quad q=2k+1, \\ A\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j}\otimes\bar{A}(\Omega^{0,8})^p\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q} J_{\zeta_\alpha} = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}(A^\tau)^{-1}\otimes\Omega^{8,0}\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}\bar{A}, \\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m+1,\quad q=2k, \\ A\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j}\otimes\bar{A}\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q} J_{\zeta_\alpha} = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}A\otimes\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}(\bar{A}^\tau)^{-1}, \\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m,\quad q=2k+1, \\ A\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p} J_{z_j}\otimes\bar{A}\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q} J_{\zeta_\alpha} = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{p}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(z_j)}A\otimes\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{q}\widetilde{\mathrm{J}}_{\hat{C}(\zeta_\alpha)}\bar{A}, \\ \qquad\text{if } p=2m,\quad q=2k. \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

□

References

[1] M. S. Atiyah, R. Bott, A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules.* Topology **3** (1964), 3–38.

[2] C. Autenried, K. Furutani, I. Markina, *Classification of pseudo H-type algebras.* arXiv: 14103244v1

[3] W. Bauer, K. Furutani, C. Iwasaki, *Spectral zeta function of the sub-Laplacian on two step nilmanifolds.* J. Math. Pures Appl. **97 (9)** (2012), no. 3, 242–261.

[4] W. Bauer, K. Furutani, C. Iwasaki, *Spectral analysis and geometry of sub-Laplacian and related Grushin-type operators.* Partial differential equations and spectral theory, 183–290, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **211**, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.

[5] W. Bauer, K. Furutani, C. Iwasaki, *Spectral zeta function on pseudo H-type nilmanifolds*, Indian J.Pure Appl. Math. **46 (4)** (2015), 539–582.

[6] J. Berndt, F. Tricerri, L. Vanhecke, *Generalized Heisenberg groups and Damek-Ricci harmonic spaces.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1598. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. pp. 125.

[7] L. T. Butler, *Invariant metrics on nilmanifolds with positive topological entropy.* Geom. Dedicata **100** (2003), 173–185.

[8] J. Cheeger, *Spectral geometry of singular Riemannian spaces.* J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983), 575–657.

[9] W. Citko, D. Jakóbczak, W. Sieńko, *On Hurwitz-Radon matrices based signal processing.* Workshop Signal Processing at. Poznan University of Technology, 2005.

[10] G. Crandall, J. Dodziuk, *Integral structures on H-type Lie algebras.* J. Lie Theory **12** (2002), no. 1, 69–79.

[11] P. Ciatti, *Scalar products on Clifford modules and pseudo-H-type Lie algebras.* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **178** (2000), no. 4, 1–32.

[12] L. A. Cordero, P. E. Parker, *Lattices and periodic geodesics in pseudoriemannian 2-step nilpotent Lie groups.* Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **5** (2008), no. 1, 79–99.

[13] M. Cowling, A. H. Dooley, A. Korányi, F. Ricci, *H-type groups and Iwasawa decompositions.* Adv. Math. **87** (1991), no. 1, 1–41.

[14] P. Eberlein, *Riemannian submersion and lattices in 2-step nilpotent Lie groups*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **11** (2003), no. 3, 441–488.

[15] P. Eberlein, *Geometry of 2-step nilpotent groups with a left invariant metric.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **(4) 27** (1994), no. 5, 611–660.

[16] K. Furutani, I. Markina, *Existence of the lattice on general H-type groups.* J. Lie Theory, **24**, (2014), 979–1011.

[17] K. Furutani, I. Markina, *Complete classification of H-type algebras: II*. In preparation.
[18] K. Furutani, I. Markina, A. Vasiliev, *Free nilpotent and H-type Lie algebras. Combinatorial and orthogonal designs*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), 5467–5492.
[19] A. V. Geramita, J. S. Wallis, *A survey of orthogonal designs*. Proceeding of the Fourth Manitoba Conference on Numerical Mathematics (Winnipeg, Man., 1974), pp. 121–168. Congr. Numer., No. XII, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1975.
[20] M. Godoy Molina, A. Korolko, I. Markina, *Sub-semi-Riemannian geometry of general H-type groups*. Bull. Sci. Math. **137** (2013), no. 6, 805–833.
[21] M. Guediri, *On the nonexistence of closed timelike geodesics in flat Lorentz 2-step nilmanifolds*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 2, 775–786.
[22] D. Husemoller, *Fibre bundles*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 20. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975. pp. 327.
[23] D. Jakóbczak, *Application of Hurwitz-Radon matrices in shape coefficients*. Asian J. Fuzzy and Appl. Math. **1** (2013), no. 1, 4–11.
[24] A. Kaplan, *Fundamental solutions for a class of hypoelliptic PDE generated by composition of quadratic forms*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **258** (1980), no. 1, 147–153.
[25] A. Kaplan, *Riemannian nilmanifolds attached to Clifford modules*. Geom. Dedicata **11** (1981), no. 2, 127–136.
[26] A. Kaplan, *On the geometry of groups of Heisenberg type*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983), no. 1, 35–42.
[27] A. Kaplan, A. Tiraboschi, *Automorphisms of non-singular nilpotent Lie algebras*. J. Lie Theory **23** (2013), no. 4, 1085–1100.
[28] T. Y. Lam, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms*. Mathematics Lecture Note Series. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading, Mass., 1973, pp. 344.
[29] H. B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*. Princeton Mathematical Series, 38. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. pp. 427.
[30] F. Levstein, A. Tiraboschi, *Classes of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras*. Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), no. 5, 2425–2440.
[31] A. I. Malćev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces*. Amer. Math. Soc. Translation 39, 1951; Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR, Ser. Mat. **13** (1949), 9–32.
[32] G. Métivier, *Hypoellipticité analytique sur des groupes nilpotents de rang 2*. (French) Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), no. 1, 195–221.
[33] D. Müller, A. Seeger, *Singular spherical maximal operators on a class of two step nilpotent Lie groups*. Israel J. Math. **141** (2004), 315–340.
[34] H. M. Reimann, *H-type groups and Clifford modules*. Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras **11** (2001), no. 2, 277–287.
[35] H. M. Reimann, *Rigidity of H-type groups*. Math. Z. **237** (2001), no. 4, 697–725.
[36] V. Tarokh, H. Jafarkhani, A. R. Calderbank, *Space-time block codes from orthogonal design*. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theor. **45** (1999), no. 5, 1456–1467.

K. Furutani: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Science University of Tokyo, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba (278-8510), Japan
E-mail address: furutani_kenro@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp

I. Markina: Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, P.O. Box 7803, Bergen N-5020, Norway
E-mail address: irina.markina@uib.no